Strength in Arms... and Love
How the firearms community helped save me

—Robyn Sandoval
On April 9, 2015, I was told, “Go to the ER. Go now!” My beautiful 5-year-old daughter Kiara was sedated, in my arms, and we ran across the street to the Children’s Hospital. An MRI scan just revealed a massive brain tumor and the prognosis was very, very bad. The next seven days would be the most terrifying of my life, and I was uplifted and sustained by the most amazing community—American gun owners.

In addition to my title Mom, I’m also the Executive Director of A Girl & A Gun Women’s Shooting League (AG&AG), a national club for women who enjoy the shooting sports and defensive training. The AG&AG National Conference was to convene just days from Kiara’s diagnosis. Although I couldn’t attend the event, I received constant messages of love and support. Women on the range paused shooting, sent photos with their hands shaped as hearts, and offered prayers for my family, day and night. The enjoyment of shooting brought them together, but the Sisterhood of being Mothers, daughters, believers and friends made them a powerful force.

“The journey shows clearly that the firearms community comprises the most generous and kind-hearted people.”

The love and support didn’t stop at the Conference venue in Texas. My family received messages and prayers from all faiths, from all people, from all over the globe. IDPA shooters in Pennsylvania held a charity match to help us with our medical bills. Three-Gunners in Colorado sold mulligans (a chance to reshoot a stage) with the funds going to children’s cancer research. When Kiara’s new playhouse from Make-a-Wish needed furniture, people from all over the country sent items and funds to participate.

Kiara is now eight years old and still in the fight. She is blind because of the hydrocephalus caused by the tumor, and had to endure six surgeries and weekly chemotherapy for 17 months. She was off treatment for a full year and has been thriving. She reads braille and is doing well in school. She has a great sense of humor and a lot of friends. I’m so proud of her and I love being her mother.

We learned last week that her residual tumor has grown again, this time into the brain stem, requiring very serious interventions to save her life. We have sent her medical summary and brain scans to eight different hospitals, and again the firearms community has shown its love and support. Dozens of invitations of rooms, houses and local help have been offered in every community with a reputed pediatric neurooncology program. It is reassuring that anywhere we go, we’ll have a support network in place.

Often American gun owners are vilified in the so-called “mainstream” media as heartless and selfish people. We are often portrayed as loving guns more than people, when we value our guns because we want to protect the ones we love. My journey shows clearly Continued on Page 3

Four Branches of Judaism Embracing Deadly Formula

—Frank Lee
With a promise to protect their foster child from harm, the nice man and his wife drive the teenage girl to the roughest part of the city at night, empty her pockets and purse of any defense tools, and leave her there alone.

As the couple rolls up the car windows to drive away, they call out, “We know it’s dangerous, but you really are safer when defenseless.” You don’t have to be Jewish to detest that satanic image: acquire an innocent’s trust, render her defenseless, dependent on others, then expose her to imminent danger.

It’s dangerous, but you really are safer when defenseless.” You don’t have to be Jewish to detest that satanic image: acquire an innocent’s trust, render her defenseless, dependent on others, then expose her to imminent danger. The language they post in the firearms community recognizes for taking guns away from the law-abiding, thinly colored in magnanimous and righteous ink. The pro-rights community sees between those lines, these are not proposals to strengthen the community, or arrest evildoers already in gross violation of law. Look closely: (see chart)

Gun violence, a recently introduced euphemism for crime, the real problem; reasonable gun laws, which penalize non-criminals for non-compliance and ignore enforcement and punishment already extant for criminals, assault weapons, when everyone knowledgeable understands assault is a type of behavior, not a type of hardware, and already totally criminal. Even a cursory glance shows the congregations focus on guns, safely owned by a hundred million of us, and not crime and psychosis, the problems that need solving—hence the fierce public resistance and expected lack of results.

Conservative Rabbinical Assembly: “enact effective gun violence prevention legislation” (citing four gun-control groups)

Union for Reform Judaism: “implement a strategy for gun control advocacy” (with a familiar list)

Reconstructionist Rabbinical Association: “enactment and enforcement of reasonable gun laws” (listing specific infringements)

Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations: “common sense measures to reduce gun violence: (listing gun bans)

“Implement gun-safety training for youth, door watchers, selectively armed staff, optional range time for congregants and staff, alertness drills, self-defense legal guidelines” Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership

Continued on Page 5
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The Million Shekel Quiz

There are no right answers, except of course there are

1. □ T  or □ F  America is a democracy.
2. How did you find that out?
   □ In public school
   □ In college
   □ From the newspapers
   □ From broadcast and cable TV
   □ I’m over 50, I know we’re a Constitutional Republic, and that “democracy” doesn’t appear in any of our founding documents because the Founding Fathers rightfully feared and detested democracy, also known as mob rule.  □ True
3. Who said America is: “A Republic, Madam, if you can keep it”?
   □ Benjamin Franklin
   □ Bernie Sanders
4. Why do you think politicians and mass media today keep calling America a democracy?
   □ They don’t know any better
   □ They really think we are a democracy
   □ They were taught that in public schools
   □ They don’t think it matters, and will argue long and hard that way
   □ They want to subvert the Republic and distorting our history and language is a great way to do it
   □ If they can instill populism and democracy in place of a Constitutional Republic they can gain power and rule, with help of a corrupt media that fosters their illicit control.
   □ All of the above.
5. □ T  or □ F  Firearm infringement is banned.
6. What makes you think infringement is banned, where does it say that?
   □ You’re wrong. Reasonable commonsense gun-control laws are OK.
   □ It depends on what you mean by infringement.
   □ Infringement is what the courts say it is.
   □ Nothing is banned, the Constitution is a living document.
   □ Molon Labe.
7. Politicians who violate the plain language of the Constitution should be:
   □ Censured
   □ Brought up on ethics charges
   □ Removed from office
   □ Imprisoned
   □ Tried for treason
   □ Tarred and feathered
   □ Praised
   □ Given raises
   □ The Constitution is old and is no longer relevant, everyone knows that.
8. When I see a report in mass media about any sort of gun-related incident I can be sure:
   □ It is 100% true
   □ Stop laughing before continuing
   □ It is 100% false or nearly so
   □ It contains errors of omission, errors of commission, misunderstandings of the terms used, prejudicial language, deliberate distortion, editorial bias, anti-gun-rights narrative, selective remarks from experts on one side only, something negative about the NRA, something positive about Michael Bloomberg’s front groups, suppression of exculpatory material, anti-gun hatred disguised as news, that’s enough I get the idea.
9. I’ve stopped watching or reading “mainstream” news because:
   □ It’s laughably bad
   □ It’s inaccurate
   □ It’s just propaganda
   □ It’s opinion where news is supposed to appear
   □ The panels are just gossip
   □ If it’s about guns it’s negative, derogatory or promotes so-called “gun control” efforts to disarm the public without even a pretense of balance
   □ If they start by saying, “I think...” they don’t even pretend—it’s just editorial
   □ I can constantly shout at the TV, “You don’t know that!” so why bother.
   □ The cartoon channel is more newsworthy
   □ Like other millennials I get my reliable news from friends on social media
   □ America is being hurt by a lack of real news reporting
   □ The good that guns do is never ever reported
   □ All of the above.
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that the firearms community comprises the most
generous and kind-hearted people. We may not all be doctors who can affect a cure, but we are strong in character, prayer and spirit. We are the ones who will be there to protect you, from criminals and from cancer. I'm honored and grateful to have such an army on Kiara's side.

Please follow our journey at facebook.com/prayers4kiara.

Robyn Sandoval is the Executive Director of A Girl & A Gun Women's Shooting League, a membership organization with more than 5,500 members in 48 states that hosts recurring firearms training events for women at more than 180 ranges throughout the nation. She is an NRA Certified Range Safety Officer; NRA Certified Instructor for Pistol, Home Firearm Safety, and Personal Protection in the Home; and Certified GLOCK Operator and Certified GLOCK Armorer. She is a competitive shooter and NRA Life Member. She holds a Bachelor of Arts Magna Cum Laude from St. Edward's University.

Editor's Note: How much of anything like this do you see in the so-called “news” media? If you did would the national framework shift?

Oleg Volk

—Oleg Volk

The challenges Jews face are not unique. Throughout history, identifiable groups have been separated by religion, looks, residency, political affiliation, domestic or personal practices and whatever other markers were available or convenient for mistreatment or extermination. To us, eyeglasses aren’t a factor. To Pol Pot in Cambodia they were an excuse for mass murder—eliminate intellectuals who could think. To us, varieties of monotheism are just that, not a rallying cry on St. Bartholomew’s Night for French Catholics to massacre Protestant Huguenots. To evildoers, any excuse will do, from a flat nose to the wrong accent. It’s impossible to predict who would find what factor sufficient to excise aggression or genocide.

This is why it’s essential to stand ready to repel aggression. Firearms are a supreme help with this. They are both directional and effective, producing hard stops on aggressors with low danger to bystanders. They are fairly uncomplicated, reduced to “point and squeeze” or very near that. Guns work with minimal training, though more is obviously better (and JPFO advocates training). Unlike contact weapons, firearms provide credible threats even by weak, small and peaceable defenders. Thugs can easily dismiss a stick or stunner in the hands of a paraplegic, but few would advance against even a rimfire pistol held by the same.

The initial learning curve with firearms is rapid, with vast improvement in competency in the first hour or so. For a small investment in time and cost you get tremendous improvements in safety. Further training with guns extends effective range and improves tactical and situational awareness—but it’s those first few shots that take the trainee from ignorant borderline incompetence to moderate capability. Providing such training and materiel is a sincere way of demonstrating loyalty to a recipient, because providing such skills is an irrevocable show of confidence. Recipients feel that.

Jews have historically been targeted for reasons similar to homosexuals—they’re perceived as weak, different, incapable of resisting—low-hanging fruit for aggression. Centuries of legal disabilities, including no right to possess or practice with arms, enhanced the disparities. The scales were officially tipped.

In America, the linchpin of freedom on Earth, for once in history, people in general and Jews in particular aren’t behind the power curve. We can own, bear and study arms. Any attempt to remove those rights—which we now see all around us—is the canary in the coal mine. That line in the sand is getting brighter and more red flagged by the hour. Surrender just means going up the smokestack. The options are increasingly ugly. Retreat, such as from lost-cause California to last-ditch Wyoming is feasible, but eventually we’ll come to the last line of trenches and have to fight or perish.

Get this concept across to your friends and family, while there’s still time. You will have (and need) a lot more trigger-pullers and better logistics on your side when push finally comes to shove. Never Again! needs teeth to matter.

Oleg Volk, a Nashville, Tenn.-based advertising director and photographer, has been promoting RKBA since the early 1990s, shortly after escaping from the USSR.
The Moyel’s Tips

Something you can do:
The well-dressed man drove up to the little girl in the school yard, and said her mother was in a serious accident and she needed to come with him to the hospital right away. The girl asked him what the “code word” was but he didn’t know it, and sped off. She got the car color and three numbers of the license plate. Set up a code word.

UNiversal Rights Denial:
The United Nations is against civilian gun ownership, but totally in support of government power. This applies even for the most tyrannical despots if they are “state actors”—like the batches on the farcical UN Human Rights Council. The UN “universal declaration of human rights” doesn’t recognize self defense or firearms for the masses, but does require submission to government power, and government provision of compulsory goods and services. Take a look yourself if you doubt your Moyel.

“Inside every progressive is a totalitarian screaming to get out.” —David Horowitz

Red-Flag Laws Are Tax Laws:
The police have a huge unspoken stake in so-called “red flag” confiscations—filling their own pockets (gun vaults) with your prime firearms, or selling them to fund their departments, like they do with RICO grabs. Nothing even prevents police from manufacturing “red flag” charges on their own to increase the flow of forfeited firearms. A third-party complaint is only one option for their actions. That must be stressed.

One of JPFO’s top advisors points out, “When Himmler ramped-up property and money confiscations there was some resistance within his own ranks not to go that far. He then shifted policies so the SS “shared” in the booty. Then the dam broke.” How much abuse of in rel proceedings, RICO confiscations, traffic-camera city-budget models and other police-based tax collecting need we see to understand this dynamic?

When government breaks the law to enforce law it is neither government nor law, it is tyranny.

Resistance Begins
Gun owners in New Jersey went non-compliant when police in the state announced that precisely zero bump stocks were turned in despite a government proclamation declaring them illegal in the state. Despite fears of a civil reign of terror and sprayed bullets, nothing happened. That still may still occur, when “authorities” contemplate raids to obtain the now contraband property from the vast majority of citizens who once again proved they’re not dangerous. Unless unduly provoked. Confiscation is banned under the 4th Amendment. Infringement is banned under the 2nd Amendment. Due process is guaranteed under the 5th and 14th Amendments. The “authorities” are evincing a design to reduce us under absolute despotism.

Less Ammo Is... Less
Ask police if they would accept a limit on how much ammo their magazines can hold. You know the answer—absolutely not. Less ammo is more dangerous. When your life depends on it, more ammo and bigger magazines are the right answer. Criminals should be limited to zero-round magazines. Hmm, they are. Limits for police, and the rest of us, are the dictates of ignorant brainwashed masses and tyrants.

“Gun ownership is a means to stop ‘gun violence.’” —Aaron Zelman

David Codrea’s blog identifies a wry statistic: The Brady Campaign’s top-rated state for “good” gun laws has had roughly twice as many mass murders since 1982 as the next contender. That’s as many murders as the next two highest contenders (both “gun-friendly” states) combined. Also worth noting: Arizona, ranked best in the nation for good gun laws and the place to be for gun ownership, six years in a row, got an “F” on the Brady report card. Bad teacher?

Moyel, n. the person who performs a circumcision.

WHAT IS “GUN CONTROL?”

To an international correspondent, who sent a lengthy rambling not always coherent screed:

[Name withheld] You use the phrase “gun control” a lot, in calling for more of that. I suspect it means different things to us. To you it seems to be something worthwhile, desirable, even achievable. You lament we don’t have more or adopt more and something good, and something we should pursue.

Over here though we have seen that, basically, only socialists, progressives, leftists, democrats, mass media, “educators” and misinformed people who want to undo The American Way think of the term as something good, and something we should pursue.

For us in JPFO and a majority of the 100-million gun owners here in the land of the free few think that way. You see, so-called “gun-control” is a euphemism, a disguised way to call for disarming the public. Sometimes outright, sometimes incrementally, but “gun control” is never anything positive with respect to the right to arms. Gun control focuses on innocent gun owners, instead of armed criminals where we see attention needed.

Every law and program with that “control” name has disarmament as its goal, or a reduction of freedoms we already enjoy. Were you to understand the term that way, as we do, it’s hard to imagine you would support it. This is why destruction of so-called “gun control” as any sort of viable policy choice is a JPFO position.

That doesn’t mean we’re against gun law, we’re absolutely not, and JPFO has established The Five Kosher Gun Laws (on jpfo.org). Armed, dangerous criminals should be captured, imprisoned, and can be shot when they pose an immediate threat. That’s good “gun-control” law. Bloomfield Press has a full set of model gun laws that would benefit society (training, tax breaks, punishment for errant officials, more), go take a look. How is it the media never goes off in those directions? Would the debate change if they did? JPFO believes it would.

http://www.gunlaws.com/ModelLegislation.htm

—Alan Korwin, Editor
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strategy for gun control advocacy” (with a familiar list)
Reconstructionist Rabbinical Association:
“enactment and enforcement of reasonable gun
laws” (listing specific infringements)
Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations:
“common sense measures to reduce gun vio-
lence” (listing gun bans)
“Implement gun-safety training for youth, door
watchers, selectively armed staff, optional range
time for congregants and staff, alertness drills,
self-defense legal guidelines” Jews for the Pres-
servation of Firearms Ownership

These leaders publicly call for
more laws putting power in
government agencies, while they
oppose empowering their
congregants to deter and defeat
potential attackers in
synagogues or at home.

None of the Jewish groups suggest training con-
gregants, properly arming potential victims,
teaching pattern alertness and signs of danger, as-
signing greeters or door defenders, even code
phrases or similar commonsense defense strate-
gies (except JPFO, tacked on above for contrast).

After the murderous attack on the Pittsburgh
synagogue in 2018 by a heavily-armed anti-Se-
mitic sociopath, the Jewish factions’ leadership
have proclaimed via their websites they favor
placing trust in government for protection while
they leave their congregations unarmed and in
danger. No exaggeration here: These leaders pub-
lically call for more laws putting power in govern-
ment agencies, while they oppose empowering
their congregants to deter and defeat potential
attackers in synagogues or at home.

Synagogue attendees are urged to trust their
Rabbis who are similarly disarmed. Claiming their
views are directed by Torah, the Rabbis demand
these trusting people give up their self-protection
capabilities despite known imminent threats. The
Rabbis require their people to depend not upon
themselves but upon distant government agents
and paperwork. The Rabbis conceal the fact that
no government owes a legal duty to protect citi-
zens from criminal attack. That’s established law.

The evil equation is complete: trust the Rabbis,
relinquish your self-protection, depend upon oth-
ers, then discover your trust and dependence are
betrayed in the face of actual danger of lethal vio-
ence. Murderous attacks by haters and crazies
actualize the betrayal.

How can the Rabbis endorse and encourage
defenselessness in the face of known violent ag-
gressors? Conservative, reform and reconstruc-
tionist denominations have recently published
calls for “gun control,” even citing the verse in
Leviticus 19:16 that says, “You must not stand
idly by when your neighbor’s life is at stake.”
Those groups fail to see that verse’s call for self-
reliance. The Rabbis treat that Torah directive
as though it encourages political lobbying or action
by unnamed others.

That verse does no such thing. The highly-
revered Jewish commentator Rashi explained that
verse condemns, “watching your fellow’s death,
when you are able to save him; for example, if he
is drowning in the river or if a wild beast or rob-
ers come upon him.” The renowned sage Maim-
onides noted the verse also directs Jews to
report to potential victims any threats, plots,
traps, or violent persons that present palpable
risks of harm. The Pittsburgh synagogue attacks
shows such violent persons lurk among us.

Leviticus 19:16 implies a duty to be prepared,
when feasible, to protect other people against
wild animals and human aggressors. Disarming
a target population in the face of violent threats
runs directly opposite to the life-affirming funda-
mentals of Judaism. In the face of historical per-
secution of Jews to the present day, it is shameful
to render congregations entirely defenseless
against known violent dangers.

...administrative regulations do
virtually nothing to prevent
dedicated murderers and
absolutely nothing to stop actual
attacks on synagogues.

Jewish leaders advocate “gun control” laws
such as more “background checks,” “waiting pe-
riods,” or outright bans on firearm ownership.
Those administrative regulations do virtually noth-
ing to prevent dedicated murderers and absolutely
nothing to stop actual attacks on synagogues. Bans
on firearms affect millions of decent citizens by
hindering their self- and home-defense, while
scarcely affecting one serious criminal.

Jewish history from Biblical times to the pres-
ent proves the folly of surrendering power to non-
Jewish governments and depending upon such
governments for protection. It is thus meshe-
gnath (insane) for Jewish leaders to advocate for
laws and policies that discard and discourage
personal defense while empowering governments.

The groups think they demand more gun-crime
laws, but such laws already exist. Criminals are
100% totally banned from guns. The Rabbis need
forgiveness—they must not realize more laws
only expand to discourage or prohibit non-crimi-
als from armed self-defense, and are feckless
against evil.

Riddle us this Rabbis: How does a few days’
shopping delay stop a synagogue attack? (Hint: It
does not.) Does a ban on some specifically-named
firearm actually stop an anti-Semitic murderer from
using other arms? When new gun laws are soberly
tested for logical results, they come up short.

Venerable Jewish principles of preserving life
demand equipped self-defense, lest the leaders of
the four Jewish denominations be left standing
idly by in the blood of innocents.

Frank Lee, a legal researcher and writer, regu-
larly contributes to JPFO’s publications.
Trump’s Bump Stock Mistake
BATFE Passes Its Own Law—Without Congress

by Jeff Knox
At President Trump’s request, and under the cover of a foolish statement of support from the NRA, the BATFE has redefined a couple of terms and declared that bump-stock-type devices, mere chunks of plastic, are machine guns, effectively making their manufacture, sale—or even prior innocent possession illegal.

“Restricting inanimate objects does little to modify human behavior.”

So what? Bump-stocks are stupid and are only good for making noise and wasting money, right? Not so fast. First, banning bump-stocks violates a key principle—restricting inanimate objects does little to modify human behavior. That’s important, and must always be considered.

It also trashes the fundamental guideline that only Congress has legitimate delegated authority to enact new law, which this is—and because it infringes on firearms rights it is particularly egregious.

A more direct and substantial concern though, is how this ad hoc redefinition of bump-stocks relates to, and impacts other firearms.

Current laws and regulations define a machine gun as: “Any weapon which shoots, is designed to shoot, or can be readily restored to shoot, automatically more than one shot, without manual reloading, by a single function of the trigger.” (emphasis added)

Simple as that, if a bump-stock is a machine gun, then so is your granddad’s Winchester Model 1907, your Dad’s Model 100, and your Remington 1100, along with your AR and AK variants, and probably your Glock pistols and 1911s as well.

Donald Trump’s BATFE is not likely to use the bump-stock ban and the new definitions to ban all semi-auto firearms just now; but these new definitions put the critical dominoes in place for a future administration to bypass Congress and declare that any firearm capable of being readily restored into a machine gun (by adding a bump-stock), is itself a machine gun, and prohibited from sale or even possession. It’s a semi-auto ban-in-waiting, by bureaucratic fiat, with executive approval. What— the “news” reports failed to mention this?

Every infringement of the right to arms, no matter how limited or minor it might seem, is a threat to all of our rights. The move to ban bump-stocks broad implications, lays the groundwork for serious harm, and authorities are not only not ignorant of these facts—they drafted them and put them in place. That’s why JPFO opposes this new interpretation, and why you should too.

*JPFO notes: This entire maneuver is an end run around Congress, which has sole authority for enacting new laws. Because Congress would not change the definition of machine guns, BATFE took it upon itself to do so without your elected representatives. That has a name—tyranny. That describes much of BATFE’s work, an agency put in place as green-eye-shade accountants and paper pushers, that has morphed itself into a heavily armed brutal enforcement squadron with little external control, and no accountability for its frequent crimes, right down to murder (think the Branch Davidian mass slaughter, among others).

Aaron Zelman, the founder of JPFO, saw no use for their gestapo-like tactics and wanted them disbanded http://jpfo.org/filegen-n-z/theadgang.htm. An outrageous police-type agency like that at the federal level has no place in a free republic, but here they are. Even if they were 100% defunded, their people and facilities would simply meld into another federal agency, with full benefits, and likely bring with them their tactics, attitude and disrespect for liberty. Boot the BATFE is not just a slogan, it is an imperative for America. http://jpfo.org/filegen-a-m/bat-features.htm

Jeff Knox is Director of The Firearms Coalition, an information and strategy group of more than 3,000 grassroots organizations, gun clubs, and activists working together to educate and impact legislation to protect and restore the right to keep and bear arms. FirearmsCoalition.org

CONFISCATION CLOCK

The gloves are off, calls for infringement are now out in the open and being acted upon. Specific firearms are being targeted federally, confiscations are being advocated and in some states implemented. Demands for civilian gun registration are being “denied” by the very people proposing them and few folks believe the denials, few folks believe the denials, few folks believe the denials. The Justice Dept. is on record saying the proposed background-check plans can’t work without universal registrations, similarly dismissed with a wink-and-nod by gun banners. The private-transfer bans being pushed can only work if government knows what everyone has.

Entire democrat-party platforms include infringement. Party youngsters manipulating party policy are not of sound mind if their irrational proposals are any gauge, and adult oversight is evidently absent. The NRA capitulation on plastic bump-stock devices, including their intolerable Fourth Amendment violative confiscation and destruction, is aided and abetted by BATFE rule making and presidential complicity, underscoring the need to advance The Clock. A small two-minute clock advance to twelve minutes to midnight is only limited by republican stopgap control of the Senate, which if lost could be disastrous.
Should Gun Training Be Mandatory—
In Public Schools?
The argument against is... what?

On what grounds could you deny a decent person from going to religious services with a sidearm and absolutely no training?

Carried properly, discreetly, no one knows if a congregant (or malcontent) is armed. We all know many are.

How would you tell if the discreetly armed person (good or evil) is trained? You can’t.

Now, you’d have to be meshugenah (out of your mind) to carry a sidearm and not get at least some training.

Even a government permission slip (CCW wallet card) doesn’t say much—CCW classes are woefully weak, often old, outmoded, inadequate. Under Constitutional Carry training is at the citizen’s discretion—it is a right, after all, not an invite to classes on Shabbos (or whenever) to get “papers.”

Now, you’d have to be meshugenah (out of your mind) to carry a sidearm and not get at least some training.

And if through your stupidity someone got shot by you, you would bear the full 100% responsibility for doing that (Kosher Gun Law #4, on JPFO’s website).

But of course, you would bear identical 100% responsibility even if you had every training class in the world and were a top expert. No difference.

So here’s the question: What sort of shul or church or school doesn’t teach at least rudimentary firearms handling and safety routinely, considering how many guns are in circulation? Say what? They don’t? I’m shocked. Simply shocked. Who’s responsible for that?

Answer: The people who want to disarm the public, politicians and hoplophobes. The radical left wing, they are. Say it isn’t so? Sorry, it’s so. Gun ignorance is perpetrated by the people who want to disarm Jews. And America.

That must end. Ending gun ignorance will reduce crime and accidents. Education Sí! Ignorance No! (Press “1” for Hebrew.)

When will the NRA stand up and loudly pronounce: “No one needs any training of any kind to keep and bear arms, because it’s your right!” Don’t hold your breath.

Gun ignorance is perpetrated by the people who want to disarm Jews. And America.

No person needs training to own a Bible, publish a newspaper, run a radio station, be a TV talking head—rights do not require prior government approval or classes. More people have died because of the Bible, the Koran and the communist Manifesto (all books) than every criminal in America, ever.

Do we recommend all the training you can get? Of course we do, we’re not meshugenah, we’re Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership, http://www.jpfo.org.

Take Guns = Face Guns
Is Banning the Second Amendment a Capital Offense? Never Forget! Guns are only taken from you at gunpoint.

Any official standing with armed might, seeking to disarm you even a little, is a tyrant.

If their “excuse” is to lower crime, tell the tyrant to arrest criminals and leave you be.

Disarming or subarming you to stop psychopaths only fools the brainwashed.

Legal guns declared illegal is infringement. Infringement is banned.

The socialists, kindly called the left, and their minions with power, had better proceed with caution. They are pushing the envelope.

Visit the JPFO Store!
shop.jpfo.org
by Aaron Zelman and JPFO Staff

Twelve years ago JPFO’s Founder described BATFE’s method of operations and he was prescient—the view Aaron had back then reflects the rogue agency’s M.O. and style we see today. This was 2007, it sounds just like the federal alphabet soups of today:

Dear friends, neighbors, and fellow citizens:

Most of us remember vividly the way that an entire church full of people, including more than two dozen children, were burned to death in 1993, or died in other ways, while under siege by the Federal Bureaus of Investigation, and Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives.

In the wake of that and many other BATFE abuses of the unalienable individual, civil, constitutional, and human rights, in essence, of every man, woman, and responsible child in America, Congress has rebuked this rogue agency often, and often, reforms of various kinds have been promised. Yet somehow, these reforms never quite seem to materialize.

For the past year, for example, a man named Michael Sullivan has been Acting Director of the BATFE, and has made no effort to curb its abuses. Indeed, he has promised to “work with” notorious anti-Second Amendment politicians like Senator Edward M. Kennedy on “gun control” issues.

Judges are complaining of sloppy briefs and missed deadlines in Sullivan’s office, but the situation is vastly darker than that. U.S. District Court Judge Mark Wolf, to name just one example, castigated Sullivan recently for tying up the courts with penny-ante street-crime cases.

Unimaginably worse, U.S. District Court Judge William Young has hammered Sullivan’s office for evincing “a moral code more suited to the alleys of Baghdad than the streets of Boston” and for adopting a mindset that “reveals such callous indifference to innocent human life as would gag any fair-minded observer.” One can’t help thinking about Waco.

Sullivan has often stated with typical arrogance, that he doesn’t care if he annoys judges. By his reckoning, the more cases one has gotten to trial, the more successful one has been, so he just keeps on pushing more and more cases through each year, in the process racking up some of the longest average sentences of any U.S. attorney in the country.

These days, that’s regarded as a good thing

[Editor asks: Does the prevailing attitude here sound familiar to the attitude agencies exude on nightly news reports? By what logic or power might you presume this documented approach will change among these servants of the people?]
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