The Riots Changed Me (They changed my wife and family too)

Name withheld by request

I found it interesting, as an observer, to see the psychological change in people who had been opposed to guns, at least among my friends. I learned that 50% actually owned, and even carried, and 50% of them were very opposed to the whole idea of private gun ownership. They won’t allow one in the house, joking about it with friends, things like, “What are you afraid of,” and, “What scares you so much?”

We play fantasy football during the season and one of us showed up with a holstered sidearm—and this is in a city in Connecticut of all places, out in a peaceful wooded suburb. The change in perspective was startling when, amidst the global crisis of the virus and rioting, fear was injected into everyone. They, and I—we didn’t know if food would remain available, how we would protect our kids, or get food if there was any, go outside to seek medical aid if needed. I think we’ve seen too many movies. But here it was—happening. We were confused, terrified, we had no model.

Imagine apocalyptic times—without a gun. It becomes, you’re in total disadvantage, so many people have guns and you don’t, defending family, self, kids, it borders on hopeless. It was interesting, the switch on psyche. From gun fear and avoidance, and ignorance, to inquiry: friends wondering, could they borrow one, get one legally, what? We knew nothing. This was late February, early March. Protect family. Plain, simple. We weren’t sure about what might transpire. We confronted life or death, in our minds. The chips were down.

Independently, I spoke with my wife, formerly more opposed to a gun in the house than me. She was not opposed. We never had one at home, I never even brought it up, I figured, pick my battles. In February we did what everyone did, went to Costco to stock up, and I brought it up.

I was actually shocked when she was for it. A straightforward approach—once I did it, and got one, then she would too, but me first. She had a sense of desperation. Protect family in unknown environment.

As I write this, now things looks a little safer, so she’s flipping the other way, doesn’t want one so much, the apocalypse seems over. But we’re in a social-unrest environment again now, though she feels less threatened. Defending ourselves is still real. Who should we rely upon but ourselves? We can see the no-police or law-enforcement problem on TV. Don’t want the prior threat and feeling of hopeless ill-at-ease back, must ensure family survival.

I haven’t pushed it since then. So I took it upon myself as the head of our household. Took a course for permit certification and qualified. But now—I can’t go to the city to apply for the permit! They’ve closed it down and we’re locked down! Why do I even need a government permit to defend and protect my family? But I have it in the back of my mind. I grew up with guns in the house, not a big deal, but never in this house.

I’m more middle of the road, wife is more to the left, we have a bit of conflict there. I can certainly see we need some level of prep. If there’s fire we have an escape route, plan, practice, strategy where to go, we’ve been proactive, not reactive. I honestly don’t know if a gun—or several—is the right answer for some sort of protection. Maybe

Continued on Page 2

Arguments Against So-Called “Gun Control”

by Richard Stevens

Good Law vs. Bad Law

Aggressors exist who would unjustifiably take other people’s property, who would coerce and control other people, and who would murder other people. Aggressors, whether organized entities, small groups or individuals, can be expected to use force to accomplish their goals. As peaceful persuasion often is not effective, the potential targets of aggression must be free to raise the costs of, and thereby deter, aggression, by having immediate access to weaponry comparable or superior to the aggressors’. When aggression begins, the potential targets must have immediate access to weaponry to exercise self-defense against the aggressors, or else the aggressors will certainly succeed.

In the hands of innocent people resisting aggression, modern sidearms, rifles and shotguns have proven effective in the vast majority of cases to deter, thwart or limit aggression. So-called “gun control” laws in every form, however, operate to raise the costs innocent people endure to exercise self-defense against aggression.

These “gun control” laws aim in one direction: to discourage private ownership and use of firearms. Such laws make owning and using guns more:

• difficult or inconvenient
• expensive
• embarrassing, and
• subject to legal liability

“Gun control” laws hamper and deter innocent people from owning and using the tools to deter and defeat aggression. Such laws thus assist aggressors who, by definition and in actual fact, do not obey “gun control” laws. By weakening and reducing the numbers of armed innocent self-defenders, while leaving aggressors unaffected, “gun control” laws inevitably make more likely a society dominated by people who will steal, dictate and kill.

Continued on Page 5

Learn the Lesson America

by John Marshall

In November, 1940, when I was two months away from being two years old, a history lesson was being taught to Americans by the British. Strange, but the British didn’t know they were doing it, and even stranger, the lesson they inadvertently taught us has now mostly faded into history. I learned it well though, and I want to pass it on to you now. It’s important.

In the November 1940 issue of the American Rifleman, then as now the official journal of the National Rifle Association of America, a full-page plea for assistance appeared opposite the table of contents for that issue. It said:

SEND A GUN TO DEFEND A BRITISH HOME

British civilians, faced with the threat of invasion, desperately need arms for the defense of our homes.

Continued on Page 2
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not necessarily guns, some other forms of self-defense. But what are those? We do have an alarm system but no one will be stopped by a beeping sound, and not every window and door has sensor. I’m starting to wake up.

[Editor’s Note: This person’s story is common, not unique. He and his wife are coming to understand that self-reliance is critically important when push comes to shove. Society collapses and assaults happen without notice and quickly. When seconds count, police are just minutes away—unless there’s riots, when they’re not there at all. Today, leftists are screaming to disband “evil” police, who have become afraid to act on your behalf; while democrats are emptying jails to protect criminals from the plague—bow does that fit into the Founders’ calculus of a well-armed populace?]
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The appeal went on to say that they needed pistols, rifles, shotguns and binoculars! You see, the Germans under Adolf Hitler had just overrun and conquered France. Standing on the shores of northern France, Hitler could see the white cliffs of Dover across the English Channel. England lay ripe for the picking, and he was planning to execute “Operation Sea Lion.” It didn’t take a genius to figure out that he was determined to invade Britain. Terribly, after years and years of gun control in the British Isles, the populace was largely disarmed except for some fowling pieces and single-shot rifles the Crown had allowed the gentry to keep. Oh, they had some axe handles, broomsticks, pitchforks and kitchen knives also, but . . . they were not too useful to fight off a disciplined and well-equipped juggernaut of an army trained in proven blitzkrieg tactics. The writing was on the wall.

Americans responded dramatically to that appeal and sent tons of privately-owned arms to our British cousins. Our citizens, who enjoyed our Constitutional right to keep and bear arms, had plenty to send them.

Thankfully, the rest of the story was that, alerted to enemy aircraft by newly-invented radar, the Royal Air Force fought the Battle of Britain in the air, effectively nullifying the Luftwaffe. With no prospect of dominating the air, Hitler backed off and was content with trying to subjugate Europe in the present, not from history and recognize that the Second Amendment means what it says. Infringement of a right should never be tolerated or even incrementally or passively allowed. I hope your grandchildren will never sit on your knee and ask you “What happened Grandpa? Couldn’t you stop them?” Think about it.

A lifelong firearms enthusiast, John’s first was a .22 caliber Winchester rifle purchased with his Dad at age 11. After graduation from ASU he became a U.S. Army lieutenant serving in atomic weapons training, logistics and security during the Cuban missile crisis. A widely published writer, he is the author of 101 Classic Firearms, and winner of the coveted John Amber literary award in 2003.

But Britain failed to learn the very lesson they in fact taught us. Most of the arms we sent them were not returned. They were either melted as scrap or dumped in the ocean. Today, the British government continues to treat their people as subjects, not as free citizens. We have to understand that if we relinquish our guns, who will send them to us when we will surely and really need them against a foreign power or, G-d forbid, against a rising despotic government here in the United States?

Gun control isn’t about guns. It’s about control. An unarmed citizenry can only bow in compliance to tyranny. Hitler, Stalin, Pol Pot and Mao proved that in no uncertain terms. “Common sense gun safety” is exactly like putting lipstick on a pig. It’s still a pig, and it’s still gun control and creeping confiscation. Learn the lessons that are very clear from history and recognize that the Second Amendment means what it says. Infringement of a right should never be tolerated or even incrementally or passively allowed. I hope your grandchildren will never sit on your knee and ask you “What happened Grandpa? Couldn’t you stop them?” Think about it.

A lifelong firearms enthusiast, John’s first was a .22 caliber Winchester rifle purchased with his Dad at age 11. After graduation from ASU he became a U.S. Army lieutenant serving in atomic weapons training, logistics and security during the Cuban missile crisis. A widely published writer, he is the author of 101 Classic Firearms, and winner of the coveted John Amber literary award in 2003.
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“Red flag your neighbors for safety!”
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Attention Members and Sentinel Readers

Would you attend a national JPFO Meeting by Zoom? RSVP when you get your newsletter to jpfo@jpfo.org.

We will meet on a Sunday at 3 p.m. West Coast, 6 p.m. East if enough members show interest (membership is required).

- Leadership will be present
- Participation will be encouraged (but controlled)
- Key topics announced in advanced
- Your questions accepted during the 90-minute session

RSVP
The Million Shekel Quiz

There are no right answers, except of course there are

☐ T ☐ F The Soviet Union
☐ T ☐ F Eastern Europe
☐ T ☐ F Venezuela
☐ T ☐ F Cuba
☐ T ☐ F The Republic of China
☐ T ☐ F Africa
☐ T ☐ F South America
☐ T ☐ F Vietnam
☐ T ☐ F None of the above

The more hardened criminals the police put behind bars, the less I'll need my guns.
☐ T ☐ F Only if they keep them behind bars
☐ T ☐ F I need my guns because politicians are still loose
☐ T ☐ F Most criminals are never caught, new ones arise constantly

Your federal government even passed a “gun-control” law (the Gun Control Act of 1968) based directly on the Nazi law that Sen. Thomas Dodd had the Library of Congress translate for him. This Nazi law was then signed by “Mr. Great Society,” President Lyndon Johnson. Did you risk your life fighting Hitler so American politicians—some of whom you voted for and contributed money to—could impose Hitler's very own laws on you? But that's exactly what happened—and that was only the camel's nose under the tent when it came to “gun control.”

Who's to blame?

Good Americans were once spirited, individualistic, independent and skeptical of government power. Now, good Americans are a lot like the stereotypical “good Germans” of Hitler's day, compliant, docile and worshipful of government. This is largely our fault—we of the Baby Boom and Generation X. We let you down. We, who in many cases knew nothing but comfort and security, weren’t willing to sacrifice for freedom, as you did. Fat, happy and lazy, we believed our government when it said it would take care of us, so we could remain children forever...

But as Thomas Jefferson said, “The price of freedom is eternal vigilance.” The dirty little secret is that freedom wasn’t stolen from us entirely by other people. We lost freedom ourselves because we weren't vigilant. We didn’t exercise our rights or responsibilities as citizens—we of the Baby Boom and Gen X, but also you, our fathers and grandfathers of World War II. We went on voting for politicians who lied to us. We obeyed—or maybe even enforced—unconstitutional regulations. We had our hands out when politicians bought our freedom in exchange for subsidies, grants and “entitlements.” We tolerated, sometimes even cheered, violations of the Bill of Rights, as long as they were committed against people or groups we didn’t like, not realizing the Bill protected our rights, too...

We must fight ALL enemies, foreign and domestic—as you pledged to do when you signed on to serve your country. We must recognize that some of our most ruthless, implacable enemies ARE domestic—men and women who look like us and talk like us but whose values are as foreign to America as those of any Hitler, Mussolini, or Hirohito. We must not allow ourselves also to become domestic enemies of freedom by supporting their policies.

America today seems a fallen land—politically and economically powerful, but empty inside. A land that has lost its heart, its passion for freedom. But freedom doesn’t have to be gone forever. Many times in the past enemies made the mistake of thinking that America was lazy and complacent, too well-fed and self-satisfied to put up a good fight. The Japanese believed it before World War II. The terrorists believed it before September 11. Our enemies have always been wrong.

You can never underestimate the fighting spirit of the American people, once they know that a fight is necessary to preserve their own future and their children’s. An awakened America is a powerful thing, and dangerous to all opponents. We put aside our petty political differences, our daily pleasures, and our selfishness and we fight like wildcats.

This time our fight may not have to be with guns and cartridges. This time our weapons can be ideas and ideals.

There’s hope for freedom—if we can unite behind the Bill of Rights, unite behind freedom and individual rights. We must remember what the Bill of Rights is. It is a list of things an honest government—a government that is truly of, by, and for the people—is forever forbidden to do. And we must never ask our government to do these forbidden things, or stand by silently when it tries to.

To unite behind the Bill of Rights is to unite against crushing, bloated, grasping government power. This time our fight may not have to be with guns and cartridges. This time our weapons can be ideas and ideals. This time our job is to fight against the apathy and ignorance of our own culture. This time our aim is to arouse other Americans so they’ll neither want nor tolerate an un-American government whose false promises of security are used to enslave them.

Let us make forever sure that our American dead have not died in vain, that they have truly died for freedom. Let us live—and cherish—that freedom every day of our lives. ✡

Use this article to restore and preserve freedom:

Read it yourself; Discuss it with others; 2. Download copies from JPFO's website; Share it with others; Reprint it in your organization's newsletter; Encourage other organizations to do so (free of charge; all we ask is include our copyright and contact info); Leave copies in your doctor's office, barber shop, gun store and other place you visit.
The Moyel’s Tips

Easy Peasy Safety
The Moyel noticed: Billionaire industrialist Bill Gates, now a pandemic cable-news medical commentator, thinks Americans should be microchipped for tracking and safety; Celebrity epidemiologist Dr. Fauci thinks carrying vaccination papers should be mandatory, for safety. Ben Franklin said (paraphrasing here) people who give up a little liberty for a little safety deserve neither. Wow, I did Nazi that coming. Hillary Clinton is supporting voting by, wait for it... email. Same response. Her lost emails remain lost. What do you think? Write to me.

Who’s In Charge?
Closet jihadists, neo Nazis, overt socialists, undercover communists, some of whom (many?) are already in Congress—and the public doesn’t seem to notice—or care. Tyranny grows all around us, and ever closer, yet the target grows ever more diffuse. There is nothing to shoot at it with all the pent up ammo in our possession. How does the Second Amendment actually work these days? And whatever happened to “sleeper cells” so prominent and scary in “news” not so long ago? Were they just a figment of media imagination… or nightmares?

We are NOT in this Together
While left-wing and even some right-leaning politicians, along with sycophantic “news” media propagandists are preaching that “we are all in this together,” referring to the Wuhan Flu plaguing the planet, elected and appointed government “officials” remain on salary while working people are made jobless by the millions. Government guards our possession illegal and other tyrannical travesties, as if the Constitution is bereft of meaning and they are all powerful, due to clear and present danger. That is hardly “together.”

BATFE Gang Ignoring Law
My correspondent in Idaho points out, “Just so you know, BATFE is delaying approvals on gun sales, ‘because of Covid-19.’ My husband had a transfer yesterday, they said he had to wait for approval and they will call back next Wednesday. He has a friend in Illinois who said they have a holdup there, and in Michigan, except the wait time back there is a month. He believes they are just slow-walking.”

No surprise to me, as some government “officials” took it on themselves to close gun shops completely, by edict, presuming citizens would not shoot them for the gross usurpation of power and blatant infringement. How bad does it have to get, everyone one wonders.

“We support your right to be defenseless; Don’t argue with our right to be defended; You should join our side or be quiet, for safety; We’re all in this together.”
This message brought to you by this station and The Ad Council

Gun control is anti-Semitic. Are all anti-Semites gun-control advocates? Since anti-Semitism is a lawless position, they have no compunction to remain armed despite any laws that ban them. And since so-called “gun control” is really about disarming or subarming the public—Jewish people included—it is in anti-Semites’ best interests to support such policies. Will the anti-Semites who are against gun control please raise your hands? See? No hands go up. Will anti-Semites disarm when laws require? Need you even ask? The Moyel reminds you, “A safe Jew is an armed Jew.”

Oh, excuse me—anti-Semite is a misnomer for Jew hater. Read that last comment and substitute Jew hater for anti-Semitic. Takes on a whole new meaning. If only media would do that. Don’t hold your breath.

FACT: Gun-control sweeps up Jews in its intolerant grip. FACT: Disarming Jews in any measure is anti-Semitic. Gun control is anti-Semitic. Anything that disarms Jews violates the Never Again! principle. The argument, sent to the JPFO office when we issued this reasoning in a news release, that because so-called “gun control” doesn’t single out Jews it is not anti-Semitic, is just wrong.

The communist Chinese Wuhan plague (am I allowed to say that?) had us all effectively under house arrest, by unenacted edict, voiding Patrick Henry’s wisdom: “Give me liberty or give me death!” The First Amendment right to assemble had been overturned, by fiat, with no way to use arms to free ourselves from the edict, rendering the Second Amendment neutered.

Evidence is now turning up that the fear-based quarantines, which we all bought into and perhaps rightfully so—we did seek to mitigate the perceived lethality of the plague—may have been an error. Or maybe not. History will have a say about that. Your Moyel isn’t sure.

“A half truth is a whole lie.”
—Yiddish proverb

When it comes to your personal safety in times of civil unrest: “There’s a difference between a guy with a gun and a team of guys with guns.”
—The Tactical Rabbi

Illustration by Kjartan Arnorsson
**Why Discussions of “Gun Violence” Get You Nowhere**

**“Gun Violence” is a concocted and bogus term**

by Denis Franklin, M.D.

Violence has to do with the behavior of animals and people towards other animals and people. Questions about the causes of violence, to the extent it can be understood at all, is done by looking into what allows or causes violent behavior to occur. Understanding violent behavior requires that we construct a true story about its causes. In my experience, the prevention of violent behavior, to the extent this can be done at all, can only be accomplished by identifying the people who are likely to exhibit violence before they act. This is no small task.

In the past few decades, psychiatry has developed a story about certain destructive personality patterns found in individuals whose relationships are based upon a powerful need for attachment, welded to equally strong, even homicidal, feelings of rage and frustration, stemming, the story goes, from the early months of their infancy.

It is actually quite easy to find clear and characteristic patterns of these personality disorders in the stories of nearly all of the rampage killers whose histories are eventually revealed in the press in the months following the events themselves.

But instead of the public discussion leading to ways to use this knowledge to minimize the number of future rampages, it has been, arguably intentionally, side-tracked by the recent coining of the term “gun violence.” This term disparages guns, misplaces focus and blame, and displaces the correct word, crime.

The very idea of “gun violence” hijacks the story our brains are striving to construct about the true causes and effective prevention of violent rampages. Those whose fears, inadequacies and stubborn ignorance have led them to a simple, convenient, but wrong cause of rampage violence, seek to employ the rhetorical trick of assuming the missing proof of the answer they favor by including it in the name of the problem.

To debate with anyone calling the problem “gun-violence” is therefore starting from a losing position and is wasting time and energy.

---

**Then or Now—It’s Called Tyranny**

**Nazis Did What “Democratic-Socialists” Are Doing Today**

by Richard Busch, JPFO Ambassador

As I look at bone-chilling pictures like these, I wear three hats on the same head. My “past” hat reminds me I am an ex-Detroiter and Jew who watched his native city burn to ruin during the 1967 riots, and never recover. My “present” hat reminds me to keep both eyes wide open as I watch the “real news” each day. In utter disbelief, I am shocked to the point of numbleness by so many horrors, lies, threats and evil manipulations by so many talking heads with identical talking points matching the media, Hollywood, collegiate group think, etc. Seriously, I hardly recognize my own country.

My “future” hat is the one most perilously balanced. The uncertainty, the gravity of what is at stake, is a very heavy burden. Inexorably, it can’t help but take me back in time, to a horrific time that reflected humanity’s very worst abominations. The year was 1933, Nazi Germany, and Adolph Hitler was just beginning his 12-year reign of worldwide terror. Hitler’s National Socialist Party, which officially began in 1920, was off and running. Guns were all confiscated. Minister of the Interior Hermann Goring had all police departments defunded and eliminated. We all know what the Brown Shirts were then free to viciously do to who, why, and how.

The rioting, looting, fires, businesses and homes destroyed, people getting rounded up and beaten, shot on the spot... and even worse! People were literally petrified to do or say anything. If someone didn’t look and even think a certain way, their lives could be extinguished, in an instant!

When I look at such pictures, I must ask myself what is happening to us, today? All the blatant brain-washing, the fake virtue signaling, the uniform ignorance of so many “sheeple,” the arrogant “shut up and obey” or “submit and kneel, or else” threats crammed down our throats by the power and control mongers—even our own elected officials! You will be punished and hard. You will be singled out and humiliated. You will lose your job, lose your home, lose your savings, lose your freedom, even lose your life.

So am I looking at the past? Or G-d forbid, our future? This is all happening by careful design. Fast and furious! Loss of Liberty, Rights and Freedom. Your history burned! It’s called Tyranny! Don’t blink!

---

**Arguments • Continued from Page 1**

With all my force of being, I oppose such a society, and therefore I oppose all of that type of “gun control” law.

This is not to say laws cannot appropriately mete out punishment for criminal misuse of arms (or anything), and we have had such laws in place for centuries. Murder, robbery, threatening or intimidating, these describe conduct malum in se (bad by its nature), so criminal laws against them are desirable and enjoy broad support. Such criminal laws don’t need to mention firearms—it is the evil conduct they punish no matter the tool involved. Use of weaponry of any sort can be an aggravating factor however.

“Gun laws” that define malum prohibitum crimes (bad because we deem it so), on the other hand, do cause problems and must be excised. Laws that disfavor a particular kind of gun, or punish possession in arbitrary places, or encumber ownership with endless bureaucracy—these are onerous “controls” that do not further a freedom purpose. For every new “gun law,” always ask yourself, what crime does the newly proposed statute actually prevent? Ask, who will in practice be harmed by the proposal? If a new law would only affect the innocent, or only prevents behavior that is not malum in se criminal, then it is a worthless so-called “gun control” idea having no place in American jurisprudence. If anything, the people proposing such laws need scrutiny, and eventual reform or removal.
CNN “news” anchor Don Lemon, a partially black (mulatto) “married” gay male who must, by his own statement be racist, made that admission on national TV, without censure. He helped dress the stage for continuing race and anarchist riots in America.

Critics are correctly blaming national broadcast media for stimulating and encouraging the troubles. The racial divide and unrest in the nation is obvious to all observers—and from all participants—including those who deny it the loudest. This has fueled record-setting gun sales to first-time gun buyers, many to “progressives” and leftists or democrats who formerly professed hatred of firearms and gun owners. But the pressing need for personal arms has become painfully self-evident.

“Communities of color” and “people of color,” phrases used constantly in mass media, are divisive terms since they are widely recognized substitutes (“euphemisms”) for what used to be called “colored neighborhoods” and “colored people.” That sort of identity-politics bean counting, common on CNN, is a true measure of racism, which Lemon’s re-mark, by its very terms includes himself and everyone at CNN. Giving a national microphone to a person who loudly proclaims he is racist seems a terrible error in judgment, demands reevaluating and triggered looting, arson and days of felonies and unrest. Its effect on gun sales however, and arming the American public, is applauded by JPFO for all the right reasons—see our website for why the preservation of firearms ownership is a good thing.

With appointed and elected government “officials” seeking to disarm the public, close gun stores, prevent ammunition sales, ban the carriage of personal firearms, and even disband police departments, while simultaneously releasing hardened criminals from prisons, the pressing need for preservation of firearms ownership should be blatantly obvious. The reasons it is not clear to some segments of the public, including large swaths of democrats and progressives were unclear at press time.

Those former terms (“colored people”) are now widely disparaged, recognized as terribly racist and have been thankfully expunged from our language. The phrases “people of color” and “communities of color” however, send a chill down the spine of half the public, especially conservatives and the right side of the aisle, because they refuse to play this racist language game, or hide racism by using the preposition “of.” Sadly, liberal/progres-sives and the left exhibits its racist tendencies this way with ease.

Republican/conservative/right-leaning Americans, and Democrats too, would never talk about “colored people” any more, at least not that way, because it is believed to be totally offensive and obnoxious. All modern Americans know this. Democrats/progressives/left-leaning Americans however always substitute “people of color,” because the racial distinction remains important to them. You see news reports, indicative of their slant and bias, replete with the loaded phrases and stories about “people of color,” practically as the focal point. This fools no one, except perhaps the people using the terms, and the overt bean-counting racism the phrases vainly attempt to hide.

The left clings to this racism, the right has abandoned it, though under the new “Lemon Law,” everyone is racist so it hardly matters. The “news” media insists it’s the other way around, even as they promote such racism on nightly broadcasts, lying to the public right on TV. To the extent it all helps arm America, forming new and unexpected citizen militias, JPFO encourages more of the same, since this sort of racism is apparently inescapable, thank you Mr/Mrs/Ms/Mx Lemon. JPFO advocates training and marksmanship, especially in schools, whether you own guns or not, for real safety. Tucker Carlson, a well-to-do white racist person of privilege, who advocates gun ownership on nightly broadcasts, could not be reached for comment.

Racism On Sleeves—and Armed Revolt

“Considering the history of this country ... how can you not be racist?”—Don Lemon, CNN

We the undersigned, believe the United States of America, its history, jurisdictions and institutions, are and were from the beginning, systematically racist. We believe its police are a fundamental danger to all people of color. Therefore, it is our heartfelt and earnest belief that police forces throughout the nation, must be defunded and abolished.

We will not therefore, for the duration of our lives, ever call police for any matter involving our lives, whatsoever. We would never want such despicable people in our lives’ orbit. This declaration shall apply to ourselves, our spouses, offspring and property.

We may, however, selectively employ private security of some kind, by ourselves or through our employers, if we in our sole judgment deem it necessary for preservation of property (and life), if purveyors of violence and discrimination are not sufficiently calm in the world as we see it.

Our objection to the Second Amendment of the Constitution is well known, and deplorable, and therefore its provisions will not be tolerated by ourselves, our families and our associates, though our private security people might find it useful, to the extent it might be necessary for the security and calm of a free home.

Therefore, we the undersigned do hereby collectively agree to publicly proclaim that we will henceforth, live without any police protection.

We further demand, that all law-enforcement agencies be made aware of our views, and that they respect this decision by keeping a DNA (do not assist) list current and functioning at all times. This declaration will be made public in all media formats and we will post appropriate signage on all properties, real and personal, to declare “do not assist.”

Insofar as protection of ourselves is concerned, we the undersigned agree to wear a hat, and/or emergency bracelet at all times declaring the same. All 911 operators shall have the DNA list posted, and an automated voice shall respond, if our phones ever reach them, indicating no service is available, please hold, with a soothing music background.

We do this as a statement of faith in humanity, and its potential to advance. We therefore must take the first step to provide moral and political leadership to the people. We all must become living examples of our beliefs and philosophy. What we ask others to do, we must do first, and judge compliance.

JPFO Encourages Celebrities: Take The Pledge!

Put your signatures where your mouths are —Neland Nobel

In the wake of peaceful demonstrations, arson, looting, rape and property destruction, in the aftermath of the tragic death of an African American man in police custody, many American celebrities have called for defunding police in a public letter.

Mary Rapinoe, an outspoken soccer player, is a good example, but there are many.

We understand where she and others stand but merely signing an open letter is a hollow gesture of superficial consequence. Far more powerful, would be for these celebrities to “take the pledge.” This is the militia.

We, the undersigned, believe the United States of America, its history, jurisdictions and institutions, are and were from the beginning, systematically racist. We believe its police are a fundamental danger to all people of color. Therefore, it is our heartfelt and earnest belief that police forces throughout the nation, must be defunded and abolished.

We will not therefore, for the duration of our lives, ever call police for any matter involving our lives, whatsoever. We would never want such despicable people in our lives’ orbit. This declaration shall apply to ourselves, our spouses, offspring and property.

We may, however, selectively employ private security of some kind, by ourselves or through our employers, if we in our sole judgment deem it necessary for preservation of property (and life), if purveyors of violence and discrimination are not sufficiently calm in the world as we see it.

Our objection to the Second Amendment of the Constitution is well known, and deplorable, and therefore its provisions will not be tolerated by ourselves, our families and our associates, though our private security people might find it useful, to the extent it might be necessary for the security and calm of a free home.

Therefore, we the undersigned do hereby collectively agree to publicly proclaim that we will henceforth, live without any police protection.

We further demand, that all law-enforcement agencies be made aware of our views, and that they respect this decision by keeping a DNA (do not assist) list current and functioning at all times. This declaration will be made public in all media formats and we will post appropriate signage on all properties, real and personal, to declare “do not assist.”

Insofar as protection of ourselves is concerned, we the undersigned agree to wear a hat, and/or emergency bracelet at all times declaring the same. All 911 operators shall have the DNA list posted, and an automated voice shall respond, if our phones ever reach them, indicating no service is available, please hold, with a soothing music background.

We do this as a statement of faith in humanity, and its potential to advance. We therefore must take the first step to provide moral and political leadership to the people. We all must become living examples of our beliefs and philosophy. What we ask others to do, we must do first, and judge compliance.
Every Jew a .22?

Rabbi Big Shot
(Not his real name, but a real Rabbi)

When I served in the U.S. Marine Corps as a chaplain, I once asked a sergeant, “My wife and I are considering purchasing a firearm for home protection. We’re thinking about a small pistol. Can you recommend a certain make and model 22? I will never forget his response, “You need more than a 22. My wife couldn’t even kill a cat with a 22.”

While I have no interest in euthanizing a feline, his response made an indelible impression on me. I knew I would need a gun with more “punch” and therefore, more stopping power. I decided that a 9mm pistol was the way to go. Last year, I purchased a Springfield XD’s, a compact sidearm I thought both my wife and I could handle. But alas, it was too difficult for my wife to rack the slide. Therefore, I recently bought a Smith & Wesson Shield EZ which proved quite easy for my Rebbeztin to load and rack. Furthermore, I wanted something a little larger with greater accuracy for myself, so I acquired a Glock 17 Gen 5 which is a pleasure to shoot. Sometimes, I can even hit the target!

While I have enjoyed practicing with a firearm as a civilian (as a chaplain I was forbidden to bear arms as a non-combatant), the need for personal protection seems to have grown exponentially in recent months. I felt the urgency to upgrade to firearms that would give us a greater sense of security, although I hoped and still hope that we will never have to shoot our guns outside of a shooting range. First, with the COVID-19 pandemic, we feared there would be more desperate people out there and an increase in home invasions. In more normal times, we were less worried about being robbed during the day while we were gone. But since we are now home basically 24/7 we could be forced to encounter any intruder up close and personal. We want to be prepared.

Add to this the unrest that has now plagued our country following the death of George Floyd, and the need for self-protection seems even more crucial. While lawful protests began as a result of this event, sadly there are others who have used that as a pretext to rob, loot, set fires and even murder innocents. And it gets worse.

For years we’ve been told by progressives that we don’t need guns because the police will protect us. But now we have misguided souls who express their desire to “defund police” or dismantle U.S. law enforcement altogether. And they’ve decided to release criminals from prison for their medical protection. Who can we count on now other than ourselves?

We know that Jews and synagogues have been frequent targets of Muslim radicals, Antifa and violent members of Black Lives Matter—the organization, not the “ideal” that black lives matter, which indeed they do—as do all precious lives on G-d’s Earth. I believe it would be irresponsible for us not to take precautions to defend ourselves during these trying times, or any times. Jewish law actually commands it.

There’s an old joke about the woman who stopped a New Yorker in Manhattan and asked “Sir, can you please tell me how to get to Carnegie Hall?” He smiled and answered “Practice, practice, practice.” This holds true for people who wish to be proficient with firearms. In that spirit, it’s time for me and my beloved to go to our local shooting range and practice! Lock and Load!

P.S. Now that my wife has her own 9mm, guess who’s remembering to lower the toilet seat without fail? ✡

Little more can reasonably be aimed at, with respect to the people at large, than to have them properly armed and equipped…

—Alexander Hamilton

Support JPFO with a cup of Joe!

Show your office colleagues where you stand! Taste some fine brew! Give gifts!
Support our important work and get something back you can touch.
“Want don’t have to be Jewish to enjoy Irish coffee.” Discounts for quantities above... one. Order now: https://store.jpfo.org Microwave and home dishwasher safe.

WRITE FOR THE SENTINEL!

Do you have something to say? If you’re ready to shout at your TV, or tell paper pundits what you think and your thoughts make sense and you can back them up with real meaning—

The Sentinel can be your platform!
JPFO’s Guarantee: We listen. Our Rules: Ask for our Writer’s Guidelines. Your task: Do a good job. Opportunity is knocking, answer the door.
info@jpfo.org
To our fathers and grandfathers who fought in World War II:

America owes everything to you. You sacrificed your youth, you saw your buddies die before your eyes, you gave up life and family and love as you fought in Europe or the Pacific—all to save the world from fascism.

We can’t even measure how much we owe you—you, and the staunch women who stood with you—the WAVs, the Waves, the nurses who treated the wounded under unthinkable conditions, and the Rosie-the-Riveters who kept the country going back home. Yet, in the decades since the end of the war, your victory has been stolen. From you, from your children, your grandchildren, and from all of us.

You won a long, hard, painful battle. But when you came home, you lost the war. You lost the Bill of Rights and freedom. And so we all lost.

America is becoming a lot like the countries you fought against.

The country you fought for was a land of self-reliant people, people proud to stand on their own two feet. It was a country of decency, of neighbors and neighborhoods, where people took care of each other, their families and themselves. It was a country where citizens had a say in what their government did, a country where the government respected private property, family life, the right to worship, the right to express opinions without fear, the right to own firearms, and the whole way of life those freedoms stood for. It wasn’t perfect, but it was America.

The countries you fought against were rule-ridden bureaucracies where citizens did what they were told—or else. There were countries where people were supposed to hate whoever the government wanted them to hate, and to love and trust the government more than they loved and trusted themselves. In these countries, children belonged more to the rulers than to their mothers and fathers, and private property was subject to control by bureaucrats. In these countries people didn’t dare do or say anything the politicians didn’t approve of.

Today in the United States there are people who spit on the memory of your sacrifices—people like Sen. Charles Schumer, who successfully pushes “gun control” laws that trash the Second Amendment, and Sen. John McCain, whose infamous “campaign finance” law made free speech a federal crime for independent advocacy groups. We shrink before officials who decree that unpopular opinions are “hate speech.” We endure leaders who tell us that it’s wrong to hate certain groups of people, but perfectly okay for those groups to hate and malign others. Today much of America is controlled by people who’ll fine us or even put us in prison for doing perfectly harmless things to our own land and homes.

Free speech. The right to keep and bear arms. Property rights. The right to live your daily life free of interference from people who want to push you around. Weren’t these rights the very things you were fighting for?

The steady downhill slide

This process of destruction isn’t new. You no sooner came home than the government you fought for started handing over power to the governments you defeated—and even worse governments. They did it by handing authority to the United Nations, an organization dominated by unfree countries who don’t share, or even have minimal respect for, the values that gave us the Bill of Rights. All they want is to take what they can get from us…

The downhill slide has been steady: inflationary spending, debasement of the currency, punitive taxes, propagandizing of schoolchildren so they can’t think for themselves, restrictions on property rights. Politicians have maneuvered to prop up the dangerously broken Social Security system, which Ronald Reagan rightly called an “intergenerational Ponzi scheme.” They’ve created giveaway programs that let everyone from drug addicts to billionaire businessmen live off the sweat of ordinary working people. The regulations of this Nanny State have us so wrapped in bureaucratic red tape we can hardly move. And often we dare not express our honest opinions for fear of being labeled—sometimes even punished—for being a “hater,” a “gun nut,” or an “extremist” (which sometimes means nothing more than being an independent thinker)…

Continued on Page 5