

You don't have
to be Jewish to
fight by our side.
You just have to
love liberty.

The Bill of Rights Sentinel

The Voice of Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership



Vol. 1, No. 26

Well-Regulated Militias Begin to Re-emerge

By Ralph Benko and Rachel Alexander

We took it as a great sign when one of the hardest left of the mainstream magazines, *Mother Jones*, recently devoted its "The Big Feature" to protesting the official recognition of a Virginia well-regulated Militia.

The Board of Supervisors of the Bedford and Campbell County governments, with first term Rep. Bob Good (R-VA) gave recognition to the Bedford Militia. This is big. It could represent an important, perhaps even transformational, first step in the re-

restoration of our constitutional right to well-regulated Militias.

Per Mom:

"Virginia is the only state where local governments are legitimizing their regional militias. In Bedford County and its neighboring Campbell County the local governments are trying to rebrand their militias from ex-

tremist paramilitary groups operating on the fringe of society into an official arm of the state—one with a more family-friendly image of protecting neighbors rather than bombing government buildings. 'It's sad at this point that the militia is a scary term, because historically it's not a scary term,' Jonathan Falls, the commander of the Bedford Militia, told his troops at the muster. 'Historically it's a very honorable thing to do in your community, and so part of our mission is to change the definition of the militia back to what it's original, historical meaning was.'"

Historically, militia is not a scary term

Of course, Mom (who typically sympathizes exclusively with left-wing paramilitary groups such as Seattle's riotous CHOP, where one of the rioters killed someone) clutches her pearls at the prospect of militias becoming what they constitutionally were constituted to be (and long and happily were), "an official arm of the state." And *woe is me says she* to well-regulated militias regaining their authentic "family-friendly image of protecting neighbors rather than bombing government buildings."

In the immortal words of America's greatest yogi, Yogi Berra, "In theory there is no difference between theory and practice but in practice there is." Thus, we are delighted to help spread the word of the emergence, in practice, of what we recently

proposed here in theory, something which the *Sentinel's* editor proposed long before we did: a well-regulated militia. We say:

Gun-rights advocates simply must demand our orphaned constitutional right to a well-regulated militia

In a recent article in *The Bill of Rights Sentinel*, "Demand Restoration of the Full Second Amendment," we took a strong stand that gun rights advocates simply must demand our orphaned constitutional right to a well-regulated militia together with the right to keep and bear arms. Of course, devotion to protecting the Second Amendment's second clause in no way justifies

Bill of Rights defenders' caving on the first. We wrote:

Continued on Page 2



Rachel Alexander is a lawyer, nationally published political commentator and is the editor and founder of Intellectual Conservative. She previously served as an Assistant Attorney General for the State of Arizona and corporate attorney for GoDaddy.

Ralph Benko is a member of the New York Bar, nationally published columnist and co-founder and chair of The Capitalist League. He was a deputy general counsel for the Reagan White House and worked with two other White Houses and the Congress.

An LEO takes a look at what Alec Baldwin did

By Robert Blackmer

From a law-enforcement perspective, there's no dispute in what happened—like who fired the shot or who got shot. Police are coming at this as a possible negligent homicide or manslaughter charge—and who was responsible for the actor working with a loaded gun, who told who it was clear.

Information listed below indicates that the company took lots of shortcuts including only hiring one armorer when the usual is about three, often more. The armorer they did choose was inexperienced by her own statements, and had shown carelessness in at least one past movie-set job, as had the assistant director, so culpability could be assigned to them.

The police investigation would consist primarily of interviewing witnesses, including witnesses of past carelessness and photos of the set where it happened to examine how live ammo was mixed in with blank ammo. Also, where these items were stored when not being used, and the decision

chain that allowed the crew to use guns for target practice with live ammunition on their off time should get scrutiny.

From a prosecutor's standpoint, they would look at the procedures set up to ensure safety and how it failed. If they determine that someone was negligent, like when someone kills a person driving while drunk, they could file manslaughter charges. Rumors of deliberate malfeasance have surfaced, and should be examined.

Clearly whoever was responsible to make sure the gun is safe to use did not do that, at the very least right before the tragedy.

As far as responding to something like this (and maybe it will happen as a result), armorers and everyone on a set, could be required to take an appropriately designed gun-safety class.

According to reports, the veteran prop master with more than 30 years experience, Neal Zoromski, who interviewed for the armorer's job on the set of *Rust*, declined the offer after his interview

when he got the feeling that those running the whole project were more interested in saving money and cutting corners. He reportedly said to himself, "This is an accident waiting to happen."

One of the biggest causes for concern was that he wanted two assistants to ensure the safety of firearms usage, which was denied when they told him they were just going to hire one person total for this task. With perfect hindsight, that decision was unwise.

It has also been reported that on at least one previous movie set this sole inexperienced 24-year-old armorer was unsafe in the loading and unloading of a prop gun at that time. Another veteran movie-set armorer Jeremy Goldstein said no one should have handled the gun except for the armorer, prop master or actor.

Prior to the death, two other unexplained discharges apparently occurred on set with some of the crew. The camera operator that witnessed these mishaps sent a text message to the production manager saying "This is **Continued on Page 7**

"There's no justification for how self-styled defenders of the Second Amendment abandoned the guarantee of the right to 'a well-regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free State...' A proper defense of the Second Amendment must defend it in full. Not by halves. The first clause of the Second Amendment must be held as sacrosanct as, per the *Heller* case, the unfringeable personal right to keep and bear arms, lest the entire bundle of rights to arms be weakened. We must not let leftists pull the rug out from under us by blithely ignoring the Second Amendment's first clause, thereby setting an insidious precedent."

We were unaware, when writing this, that much of our position had been anticipated by gun rights thought leader and long-time *Sentinel* editor Alan Korwin in his 2015 *Daily Caller* column "A Well-Regulated Militia IS NEEDED For the Security of a Free State" wherein he wrote with characteristic trenchancy:

"Because this is a matter of national security, a pure constitutional function, Dept. of Homeland Security dollars can be legitimately allocated to encourage Americans to go to the range, become better marksmen, and be prepared.

Television alone should have you supremely aware that authorities are excellent at closing the barn door after the horses are out. They show up in overwhelming numbers, beautifully attired for battle, after the battle is over. The 'news' crews record them all decked out, never dirty, then show the same few short clips of them standing around aimlessly, doing nothing on a street corner like idle teenagers."

That said, however, we were not surprised by the anxiety of one good *Sentinel* reader who wrote to express his fear that our demand for respect for the full Second Amendment "has the potential to play into the hands of the antis." We, as constitutional originalist lawyers, understand well that those opposed to our civil rights will attempt to reinterpret, in devious and preposterous ways, the plain language of the Bill of Rights to deprive us of such rights. *It's what they do.*

Those opposed to our civil rights will attempt to reinterpret, in devious and preposterous ways, the plain language of the Bill of Rights.

Yet as the *Heller* case shows, their typically flimsy propagandistic arguments will fail when argued in front of a high integrity, constitutional originalist court. That said, anticipating the inevitable effort by the left to *pervert* the plain language of the Constitution is no justification for *ignoring* the plain

language of the Constitution.

There can be no clearer statement of a right than the first clause of the Second Amendment, "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State...." And we demand it be both honored and not allowed a distorted interpretation. As John Philpot Curran famously said, in 1790 Dublin: *"The condition upon which G-d hath given liberty to man is eternal vigilance."*

What we said in our previous *Sentinel* article bears repeating:

"The purpose of the Second Amendment was to *create a balance of power*—a bulwark against a tyrannical federal government. Even the denialist *Washington Post*, by publishing historian Noah Shusterman, was forced to admit that Alexander Hamilton, writing in the *Federalist Papers*, called a well-regulated militia 'the most natural defense of a free country.' His anti-Federalist critics agreed with the need for a citizens' militia, writing that 'a well-regulated militia, composed of the Yeomanry of the country, have ever been considered as the bulwark of a free people.' Conservatives and originalists like us don't airbrush words out of the Constitution. Ignoring plain constitutional language is a reprehensible but familiar left-wing tactic.

The Second Amendment, in its fullness, recognizes that a well-regulated Militia is indeed necessary to the security of a free State.

And there you have it. The Second Amendment recognizes an unequivocal right to a well-regulated Militia. And the Yeomanry of this country, now, starting in Virginia, are successfully gaining official recognition of our orphaned, yet still legitimate, right.

Could be big! Could become a movement.

We encourage the *Sentinel's* readers across America to follow the Bedford Militia's sterling example and seek official recognition from their own counties, states and Members of Congress.

Thank you, Commander Jonathan Falls, both for your visionary leadership and your eloquence in reasserting our right to a well-regulated Militia.

Thank you for your potentially history-making leadership to the supervisors of Campbell and Bedford County.

And thank you, Rep. Bob Good, for honorably fulfilling your oath of office to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States by courageously making it a priority, as all Members of Congress should, to defend the Bill of Rights! ☆

Alec Baldwin • Continued from Page 1

super unsafe." Camera operators walked off the job that day to protest working conditions, so replacement workers were brought in. This put them behind schedule, so they may have been hurrying to get caught up with the time schedule.

The assistant director who grabbed the gun off the cart and handed it to Baldwin without checking once had a complaint filed against him about his disregard for personal safety on a previous movie in 2019. He had tried to continue filming with pyrotechnics after the pyrotechnic supervisor lost consciousness on set. He also was fired from a

movie in 2019 after another unexpected discharge of a firearm while on set, mass media reported.

Some veteran movie participants agree with comments made by actor Ray Liotta, who said it's a pretty religious practice for the gun to be shown to the participants. Final steps like that, and human proof that a gun is unloaded, were obviously skipped on Baldwin's set. They now have a homicide on their hands, and their reputations forever, which courts will have to sort out. ☆

Robert Blackmer is a 20-year sworn Peace Officer with Arizona agencies.

JPFO had asked previously:

An objection was raised to a Second Amendment Foundation lawsuit, because it failed to go directly after the people who issued the ban on so-called "assault weapons" in Cook County, Ill. They denied civil rights guaranteed under the Constitution. Such denial of rights is illegal, under numerous statutes—and in principle. Righting the wrong of the denial as SAF is doing is one thing, and a good thing. Turning the other cheek against nefarious people who do such, without recognizing them and calling them out, and holding them liable for their actions, well that has to stop. What do you think? ☆



Visit the
JPFO Store!
store.jpfo.org



**Bill of Rights
Sentinel**

Publisher: Alan Gottlieb
Editor: Alan Korwin
Administration: Conn Williamson
Rabbi Emeritus: David Bendory
Advisory Board Member: Dov Marhoffer
Contributors: Jim Delton, Bob Blackmer, Craig Antoni, Keith Doyon, Denis Franklin, Rob Morse, Frank Lee, J.D. "Duke" Schechter, John Marshall, Kjartan "Karno" Arnorsen, Neland Nobel, Nicholas T. Loux, Rachel Alexander, Ralph Benko, Richard Busch, Richard Stevens, Russ Howard, Aaron Zelman (archival), JPFO Staff

The Bill of Rights Sentinel is published by
Jews for the Preservation
of Firearms Ownership
12500 N.E. Tenth Place
Bellevue, WA 98005
800-869-1884
Copyright 2021 JPFO

Address Change: Include new address, city, state and zip code. Send to *The Bill of Rights Sentinel* at 12500 N.E. Tenth Place, Bellevue, WA 98005 or email to info@jpfo.org. Please allow up to four weeks for changes.

JPFO's Mission Statement: 1. Destroy "gun control" (code words for disarming innocent people). 2. Expose the misguided notions that lead people to seek out "gun control." 3. Encourage Americans to understand and defend all of the Bill of Rights for all citizens.

The Second Amendment is the "Guardian" of the Bill of Rights.

"So-called gun control is not a credible policy position: it does not control guns nor does it control criminal behavior. What it does is disarm the innocent, leaving them helpless in the face of petty criminals, tyrannical governments and genocide.



Year Five Shekel from Masada
in Israel, 70 C.E.

The Million Shekel Quiz

There are no right answers, except of course there are

JPFO.org

If the Wuhan Plague pandemic has taught us anything, it's that:

- ☐ T ☐ F: The communist Chinese will lie to the world about anything to achieve their goals
- ☐ T ☐ F: They have no scruples, no morality, destroy evidence when it suits them even if it means the world will suffer, and exercise brute naked power against their own unarmed people
- ☐ T ☐ F: The Red Threat we knew and feared in our childhoods is as real as ever, maybe worse
- ☐ T ☐ F: The communist Chinese dictatorship borders on pure evil, the so-called "news" media will play along, deceive you and cover up that fact, along with most of our politicians, and communism and its henchmen remain among the greatest enemies to us and the entire planet Earth
- ☐ T ☐ F: When disaster strikes our own government will lie constantly
- ☐ T ☐ F: If people are scared enough, even people who hate guns, they'll wisely get armed
- ☐ T ☐ F: People will buy any gun available, regardless of utility, when they are desperate
- ☐ T ☐ F: Being isolated from one another by edict makes people cling more tightly to their guns and Bibles
- ☐ T ☐ F: In the midst of a crisis, if government misdirection isn't enough, they'll tell whoppers, be completely inconsistent, and exercise brute force as they see fit to back up their malfeasance. For example, a trillion dollars won't cost anything.
- ☐ T ☐ F: Guns and ammo will be in short supply when you need them most
- ☐ T ☐ F: People will obtain guns and forgo any training, just to have the talismanic safety they believe firearms provide
- ☐ T ☐ F: Even eight million gun sales to new gun owners isn't enough to motivate the so-called "education system" to offer any sort of realistic marksmanship or gun-safety training
- ☐ T ☐ F: It's a good thing that guns operate right out of the box with no training, and has saved lives, but training is better
- ☐ T ☐ F: News broadcasters are nearly totally ignorant about guns and their value, but that doesn't stop them from spouting nonsense about guns to the public
- ☐ T ☐ F: News broadcasters and writers are practically immune from firing no matter how much they promote communism, economic stupidity, lies and government promo
- ☐ T ☐ F: Guns and ammo, necessary to the security of a free state, are worthless against disease
- ☐ T ☐ F: Power usurped (illegally taken) by armed government agents during a national disaster will never decrease, and may never revert to what it was before
- ☐ T ☐ F: The government will attempt to shutter gun stores during emergencies, "for safety"
- ☐ T ☐ F: The Constitution is powerless to stop elite autocrats when they're on a roll, who will tell

you the exact opposite of what's true, and ignore the rules designed to bind them
☐ T ☐ F: None of the above.

Why Do Jews Hate Guns?

- ☐ T ☐ F: 1. A desire for *utopian moral purity*
 - ☐ T ☐ F: 2. A disproportional incidence of *hopophobia*
 - ☐ T ☐ F: 3. A quest for power through victimization of peers
 - ☐ T ☐ F: 4. A utopian delusion that if guns would just "go away," crime would end and the world would be a peaceful safe place
 - ☐ T ☐ F: 5. Self hatred and a wish to be helpless, acting out guilt-based behavioral problems that develop in childhood
 - ☐ T ☐ F: 6. The Ostrich Syndrome
 - ☐ T ☐ F: 7. Garden-variety hypocrisy
 - ☐ T ☐ F: 8. Adulterated religion -- Jews In Name Only (JINOs)
 - ☐ T ☐ F: 9. Feel-good sophistry
 - ☐ T ☐ F: 10. Abject fear yielding irrational behavior
 - ☐ T ☐ F: 11. To go along with secular and non-religious gun haters, who suffer under the same conditions
- (Editor's Note: The Jewish people aren't so different in this than the rest of the hopophobic public. For a detailed explanation of each item, go to JPFO.org and click the "Why Jews Hate Guns" button in the left hand column.)
- ☐ T ☐ F: 12. Progressives, Jews included, also hate and fear the fact that guns represent freedom and power for those who have them, and this threatens their leftist dictatorial mindset

Editor's note: Leftists don't hate guns. Leftists embrace guns, *vive la revolucion, pig!*, just don't mention that in the same breath. Leftists recognize that if you're armed, you're a threat to them. This is why the Founding Fathers decreed you would be and remain armed—because they knew enemies of your freedom, like those people, existed, and always will. They will hate your possession of arms for the threat the arms pose to their exercise of power over you. They always exempt themselves, i.e. their police and "authorized" enforcers, from the rules that disarm you. In this sense, "the left" does not adequately describe the forces favoring citizen disarmament. An unholy alliance exists among elected officials, government bureaucrats, corporate oligarchs, useful idiots, and misled Moms, with a side of media.

The people who understand and embrace the concepts behind an armed public as a balance of power to tyranny and a bulwark against crime, generally congregate as Republicans, Independents, Libertarians or unaligned, though not all in those categories favor firearms. Few stand up for unabridged freedom to arms (called Diplomatic Carry, same as officials' protectors have, the next step after Constitutional Carry). What is it the softies whisper in quiet circles? "This party would be so great if only it wasn't for the guns." Some good pro-gun-rights bills move at glacial speed, or not at all. Why? Elected representatives level of

love-hate for guns outshines a supernova. The ugly, uncivilized things are messy and heavy, lack a light-hearted elegance, yes, sure, they're fine, just not in our house.

Too many elected officials love guns, artfully vilify them, then use that fear to raise money and gain power. Thank goodness for guns. By lying and misleading they foster hate, and with the malice of a dictator keep heavily armed guards at their sides at all times, both in and out of uniform. They love guns, just not for you.

When Democrats rally and petition against guns, the themes are common and well known. They have been defined and standardized, and are delivered with rule-book accuracy. "Get the guns off the streets," "No one needs that many bullets," "Crime is caused by the easy availability of guns," "No one hunts with an assault weapon," "Weapons of war have no place on our streets..." Familiar, right?

What about weapons of peace? I don't understand. Next question please. ☆

Media Suppresses Self-Defense Cases

"The mainstream media lies to us. They feed us propaganda. They don't want us to know that gun ownership is widespread and that armed defense is common. I was at a conference for minor-media this weekend. There, an associate talked about being turned away from most of his local news stations because "we don't run pro-gun stories. No self defense."

Let me show you where the propaganda starts at the top... "If media covered gun-related stories in proportion to what actually occurs, they would describe armed self-defense Monday through Thursday, and run a single story of a criminal misusing a gun on Friday... You can prove it to yourself. We defend ourselves more often than the police, and ordinary citizens make fewer mistakes with a gun than the police. So, when was the last time you saw an honest portrayal of armed defense even on the TV cop dramas where an ordinary person used a firearm responsibly? I couldn't find a single one."

—Rob Morse

[Editor's Note: I can vouch for this from experience. I was told the same thing by The Associated Press. They omit. Just follow mass media and it's evident. One reason given (please sit down)—"If we ran all the self-defense stories it would encourage copycats." The thought that copy catting occurs with incessant stories that advertise murderers didn't enter consciousness.] ☆

Join JPFO Now!
www.jpfo.org
800-869-1884



The Moyel's Tips

Are Officials Commies?

When protesters at left-leaning protests (11/22/21) are chanting, and radio stations play it, does it mean anything? Will it preserve the right to arms, or liberty? Their call-and-response chant: "The only solution; Is communist revolution"—does not bode well for America. Are your arms safe if these people take control? The Moyel would expect that democrats in attendance would denounce such supporters. Republicans too. Crickets.

The Great Equalizer:

The left now promotes "inequity of outcomes" instead of recognizing colorblind equality under the law. Firearms promote equality. Equity is for analyzing your stock portfolio, and have some bonds mixed in with Index Funds, Annuities, Real Estate, along with those Equities (Equities are stocks, i.e., partial ownership of the means of production). Leftist Equity has no place in a meritocracy.

Commenting on the Rittenhouse verdict

The Reverend Al Sharpton said, "Now you have a right to go to protests and kill people." He was not commenting on the armed protesters attempting to kill Rittenhouse, or the unprosecuted murders during left-wing Antifa and BLM riots for an entire summer. The station host says the case will incentivize people to go out armed and create trouble. Who are these people? The left has been tricked into supporting bad actors—a convicted out-of-prison felony child rapist—just because he was shot in self defense before committing murder. This actually helps the right win... it makes the left look so bad. It's not unusual, they are often stuck championing the bad guys. It's what they've got.

"As you get older, go from aging to sage-ing"
—Rabbi Yossi

"Don't believe everything you read on the Internet" —Abraham Lincoln

"If it's in the news and it's about guns, it's probably 100% wrong." —Alan Korwin

Armed Aliens

Does the Second Amendment cover immigrants? What about those in the country illegally? What about ones who came here illegally and then are granted the right to vote, which Democrats are planning to continue doing, to the millions of them... can they also keep and bear arms at that point? There is no clear answer at present—what's yours? Whose side would armed illegal aliens prefer—the officials who got them here through lying, subterfuge and downright illegal acts? Or the armed Americans who understand the nature of freedom and the reason we are armed?

The White House Believes It

"We know that federal law overrides state law." —Jen Psaki, White House Press Sec'y. Nope, wrong. **Tenth Amendment:** "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people." Obvious examples: the police power, education, medicine, transportation, elections. So-called "news" media failed to correct the error.

You Can't Shoot This

"We promise a utopian paradise, with all harms resolved, all injustice removed, fairness and opulence for all." Yes, it's utopian (a word that literally means *nowhere*, or does not exist). But that doesn't reduce how compelling it is to many people, often of simple mind. The catch is that it requires elimination of the existing system to achieve, or so they demand, so at core it is a totalitarian power grab. Gun-rights advocates have to get super adept at using the power of speech, the press, right to assemble, local attitudes and everything in addition to guns. This fight is on a different battlefield—there's little to shoot at.

What would you call

Blind allegiance to authority, specifically, people who implicitly trust government simply because they're there. Word needed.

Making the Second Amendment Worthless

"You only think you're armed if the other guy is armed." I've been saying this ever since spaceman SWAT teams hit the scene. Governments worldwide, often led by our own, are developing complex tech so they can shoot you, and you can't shoot them. It's not just body armor and front-men with heavy-duty shields that are bulletproof (not bullet resistant), it's non-line-of-sight targeting, multiple drone target acquisition, and plenty of old school flash bang and automated vehicle assault tools. From rifle types and calibers you can't buy—that accurately shoot through multiple reinforced walls, to full-auto pistols and helicopter-assisted dynamic entry teams, civilian revolvers and sidearms are being obsoleted by government forces determined to get you and remain invulnerable.

Holocaust Fades

At this point, so few students and youth have heard of the Holocaust, or know what it is, the whole idea of "*Never Again!*" is almost specious. And among too many secular Jewish groups, it has morphed into "Never forget," a banal, white-wash that holds no urgency, conveys no responsibility, fails to charge the public with action.

When do police go from protectors to enforcers?

Total Gun Ban—Hooray!

Hardened, convicted criminals are now under a 100% total gun ban. Yes! (Fist pump). But—they always have been. Despite "superb" background checks they are and have always remained armed. Gun-control as a notion that bad people can be disarmed is a fantasy. Calls for gun bans are specious. We already have them.

'Tain't Funny McGee

Disarming the public, so crime drops and society becomes safer, is a cruel joke.

Disarming an innocent person doesn't make a dangerous person less dangerous.

The Wayback News

Keith R. Schmidt, writing for The Texas State Rifle Association recalls: "Back in the Roaring Twenties (now 100 years ago), 'The Party Decade,' a new car cost \$600, a meal seventy cents, and a Colt Single Action, 'thumb buster' revolver or Colt 1911 government model around \$40. Home radios were the latest have-to-have item." In case you think inflation hasn't had a pronounced effect, the car can run 100 times more now, a fast-food meal (which didn't exist back then) at least ten, and the sidearms as much as twenty times more. Because wages inflate with everything else, it can be hard to recognize, and easy to forget. The Moyel has a 1911 he got at those prices, an inkling of how old he is.

Inequity personified

One rarely appreciated element of the gun-rights debate: Guilty white people trying to atone for sins they didn't commit to guilty black people seeking to enrich themselves for injustices and horrors they didn't endure.

Observations on the Kyle Rittenhouse trial:

"We watched the trial, or I should say had the TV on while doing other things and watching when something interesting happened. The news coverage did not match what we saw." —Craig Cantoni [Editor's note: This trial, home learning due to the Wuhan plague, the Jussie Smollett trial and a handful of similar events lately have clearly demonstrated the totally phony nature of what passes for news. Journalists should be ashamed. They're not.]

Self defense exists beyond "the law"

It's a mistake to think your robust, natural right to arms and self defense depend upon what the Supreme Court says. It is philosophically improper to think that way. If the Court gets it wrong, you do not relinquish fundamental G-d given rights. Self defense doesn't evaporate if the High Court should one day abandon it, it's a thing you exercise your-



self. Yes you will be pilloried if the Court denies reality, you ignore consequences, and act in your own defense. Happens way too often. All our three-fifth citizens understand this.

"You are never safe from your own government. At the end of the day, only a combination of Wisconsin's good self-defense laws, a solid constitutional judge, and courageous jurors allowed Kyle Rittenhouse to make the solid, unimpeachable case he was able to make and to have his case prevail." —Attorney Keith Doyon

Regarding the Rittenhouse trial:

"I blame George Soros for his long-term strategy in funding the elections of District Attorneys all over the country who are wildly anti-Second Amendment, and are fully in support of releasing dangerous criminals without bail. And I blame the "defund and disempower the police" Democrat politicians who see rioting, arson and murder as a form of this bad new concept "restorative justice," to which liberals are entitled." —Denis Franklin, MD, Forensic Psychiatrist, Ret.

Actor needs gun. Training.

Hollywood in general but Alec Baldwin in particular are anti-gun bigots with prejudicial anti-rights positions who then hypocritically use guns as if they're toys. JPFO should come out for mandatory gun-safety training for anyone on a movie set where guns appear. It has to include knowing the five gun-safety rules, being able to safely handle a firearm and demonstrating the ability to safely discharge a firearm before they can get on set. This is like putting an actor in an automobile chase before the actor has ever driven one and it's totally unacceptable. Hollywood disagrees.

JPFO wants you facts-inated

"The greatest threat to our national security at this point in time is Joe Biden." —John Ratcliffe, former director of national intelligence, 9/12/21, Mark Levin broadcast. The Moyel believes the greatest threat is communist China and marxism. Guns are virtually pointless against all three. The battle for gun rights is not fought on a battlefield.

The greatest threat to America might be the coluding censors and misinformation providers at Facebook, Amazon, Google, Instagram and Twitter. Is their acronym meaningful?

If diversity is strength, then the one-party state, communist China, homogenously populated, is screwed. Maybe strength comes from something else. ☆

Moyel, n. the person who performs a circumcision.

ATTENTION. GUN-BANNERS AND ASSOCIATED STALINISTS - IT'S **NOT GONNA HAPPEN!**

BY KJARIAN © 2021



FOR ONE, THE LAW IS AGAINST IT: AMERICA UNIQUELY HAS THE BILL OF RIGHTS' SECOND AMENDMENT, DEFENDING THE INNATE HUMAN RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS. MORE AND MORE STATES ARE PASSING LOOSENEED CONCEALED-CARRY LAWS, IF NOT OUTRIGHT CONSTITUTIONAL CARRY.



SECOND, THE **PEOPLE** ARE AGAINST IT: GUN CONTROL'S CENTRAL SHIBBOLETH OF "YOU DON'T NEED A GUN - THE POLICE WILL PROTECT YOU!" WAS RUN OUT OF TOWN BY A YEAR OF RIOTS AND OTHER UNREST. SEVEN MILLION NEW GUN OWNERS THIS YEAR, A MAJORITY OF THEM WOMEN!

IF THINGS GO PEAR-SHAPED, IT'S ALL UP TO ME. SO I BETTER BE ABLE TO TAKE CARE OF BUSINESS!



SURE, THERE'S SOME CHEAP POLITICAL WHORES WHO CLAIM TO BE FOR IT, BUT THAT'S MOSTLY A SHOW PUT ON TO PLEASE THEIR BILLIONAIRE CAMPAIGN DONORS.

THE PEASANTS ARE PLOTTING TO REVOLT! DISARM THEM!!

YEAH, OKAY SURE, RIGHT AWAY, SIR!



ON THE BRUTALLY PRACTICAL SIDE, AMERICANS HAVE ALMOST 400 MILLION GUNS. WHERE CAN ENOUGH GOONS TO GRAB 'EM ALL BE FOUND? THEIR TOES WOULD WEAR OUT FROM KICKING IN DOORS LONG BEFORE A DENT WAS MADE IN AMERICA'S ARMORY. AND THAT'S ASSUMING THEY DON'T ALL GET SHOT IN THE CIVIL WAR SUCH A POGROM WOULD PROVOKE!



SO "IT CAN'T HAPPEN HERE" IS WHAT YOU'RE SAYING? IT'S **UNLIKELY!**

BUT 2020 SHOWED US THAT THINGS CAN CHANGE FAST, SO IF POLITICIANS CLAIM THEY WANT TO DISARM YOU, BELIEVE THEM AND TOSS 'EM OUT WITH A QUICKNESS!



Some people in this country, self-selected into groups with monikers like "minorities," "the disadvantaged," or "the community," and "people of color"—what is now the accepted term for other-than-white communities?—are calling for violence against others. Publicly calling for it. From "Fry 'em like bacon," to defund and even disband the police to mob incursions into residential neighborhoods and arson almost as a sport.

Democrats were so engaged and hopeful when they cheered the Take-Away-Your-AR15 moment. They have not rescinded that or likely feel any differently now. If they had the votes and could withstand the political blowback and scorn, they would do it for real in a heartbeat (see The

Confiscation Clock in this edition). Everyone knows this. They detest your guns and illogically want people with guns (police) to take the guns from the rest of us (the electorate).

Most people probably suspect that if government—which in this instance simply means Democrats—took action in this regard, a thin red line would have been broken, and armed revolt would become more than just a thought on many people's minds. Karno captures that here with both the downtrodden (that's the word!) on the carpet, and the elite fascist privileged capitalist pig individuals now blamed for well, everything. But the TV is still on and the beer's still cold, so the relative calm remains.

Was media inept or partisan? The Smart Alec Baldwin homicide

By Jim Delton

I've read quite a few stories about the Baldwin shooting. And quite a few opinion pieces. There are articles outlining the degree of blame to be assigned to the actor, some think he should be charged, others say it was just an accident. Some talk about how he should have known the gun safety rules and some now call for better rules, etc. We are many weeks out now from the killing and it was only today I ran across one of the most definitive bits of info that in my humble opinion every mainstream media source should long ago have been referencing and talking about when they did their "analysis" of Baldwin's culpability. That info is: **Safety Tips for Use of Firearms • Actors' Equity Association (actorsequity.org)**

- Use simulated or dummy weapons whenever possible.
- Treat all guns as if they are loaded and deadly.
- Unless you are actually performing or rehearsing, the property master must secure all firearms.
- The property master or armorer should carefully train you in the safe use of any firearm you must handle. Be honest if you have no knowledge about guns. Do not overstate your qualifications.
- Follow all instructions given by qualified instructors.
- Never engage in horseplay with any firearms or other weapons. Do not let others handle the gun for any reason.
- All loading of firearms must be done by the property master, armorer or experienced persons working under their direct supervision.

- Never point a firearm at anyone including yourself. Always cheat the shot by aiming to the right or left of the target character. If asked to point and shoot directly at a living target, consult with the property master or armorer for the prescribed safety procedures.
- If you are the intended target of a gunshot, make sure that the person firing at you has followed all these safety procedures.
- If you are required to wear exploding blood squibs, make sure there is a bulletproof vest or other solid protection between you and the blast packet.
- Use protective shields for all off stage cast within close proximity to any shots fired.
- Appropriate ear protection should be offered to the cast members and stage managers.
- Check the firearm every time you take possession of it. Before each use, make sure the gun has been test-fired off stage and then ask to test fire it yourself. Watch the prop master check the cylinders and barrel to be sure no foreign object or dummy bullet has become lodged inside.
- Blanks are extremely dangerous. Even though they do not fire bullets out of the gun barrel, they still have a powerful blast than can maim or kill.
- Never attempt to adjust, modify or repair a firearm yourself. If a weapon jams or malfunctions, corrections shall be made only by a qualified person.
- When a scene is completed, the property master shall unload the firearms. All weapons must be cleaned, checked and inventoried after each performance.
- Live ammunition may not be brought into the theatre.

- If you are in a production where shots are to be fired and there is no qualified property master, go to the nearest phone and call Actors' Equity Association. A union representative will make sure proper procedures are followed.
- Honor state and federal safety laws at all times.
- If any of the above safety tips conflict with the instructions given by a qualified instructor, abide by the instructions from the qualified instructor. If you are still not sure, contact your Equity Business Representative.

Alec Baldwin was a long-time member of this Union as well as a long-term participant in lala land as actor, producer, and writer. It is not believable to suggest he hasn't been exposed to these rules multiple times over his career. Yet most of the MSM "analysis" consists of fuzzy allusions to widely varying lists of rules with suggestions that perhaps they are good ideas but asking whether they were things Alec would have really known about, did they apply, who was responsible, etc. There is no such ambiguity in the above list with its 20 bullet points. Baldwin violated (marked with asterisks) eight of them. Based on that, I believe he should be criminally charged. The MSM should also be charged with something, just on general principles. ☆

Jim Delton is a political junky living in Tempe who finds all political parties too political. He says, "Everything I know is the residue of what I learned but didn't forget."

ACCIDENTAL, NEGLIGENT, UNINTENTIONAL— Wise Alec Baldwin is sweating out his homicide charge

Let's say a gun fires when you least expect it. This does not happen unless someone is responsible for the shot—guns don't shoot themselves—despite irrational hoplophobic fears. What happened? As a term, "accidental discharge," the well known "AD," is a poor choice, even though it's promoted and used widely especially by a leading gun-rights group and others.

Accidents have legal consequences, both criminal and civil. Also, "there are no accidents, they are caused," is a truth worth keeping in mind.

"Negligent discharge," a term gaining favor, is also a weak choice. Negligence has serious criminal and civil repercussions. We don't believe using either of those two terms is wise.

"Unintentional discharge," seems useful, and possibly reduces legal risk. No intention means there is *no mens rea*, the legal Latin term for "a guilty mind," needed for most criminal prosecutions. Of course, you never know if an act "is legal" or justified until after police, a court or jury makes a pronouncement. You never know beforehand, despite law book pronouncements. Don't help convict yourself. So, AD, ND or UD, choose wisely.

For smart Alec Baldwin, discharge without taking reasonable precautions that any gun handler should know, like checking for empty every time you handle or are handed a firearm, leading to a

homicide, sure looks like guilt for a negligent discharge. "I didn't know it was loaded," is the oldest and least acceptable excuse known. All three primary gun-safety rules were violated (ensure unloaded, no trigger, no pointing). That's true of every "accident."

At the recent JPFO political Salon here in Phoenix, the suggestion was made that everyone on a film set should have a required gun-safety class including safe discharge at a target, before being allowed on set. This would do wonders for the widespread abject ignorance and stupidity on display from the entire Hollywood cadre (and media too, but that's well known). Like other novices who get taken to a range to lose their "virginity," it would work wonders for the intelligent understanding of firearms and the positive role they play in society. Ignorance is one of the main obstacles in improving the human and civil right to arms. Untreated hoplophobia is another. Just watch TV shows for an hour, actors don't even understand grip.

The counter argument that this will provide impetus for requiring classes or permits for the armed public is bogus. The exercise of rights is not and should not be subject to mandatory paperwork or anything like it. Reading, writing, religious exercise, self-defense, private-property ownership and more should not be subject to government dictates,

and have no legitimate delegated constitutional authority. Of course, such powers have long been usurped and need correction and convictions for the "official" perps.

Potentially dangerous job-related work may be different. Movie sets must be made safe. JPFO stands behind its long-held position that gun safety and marksmanship should be a requirement for a high school diploma. Everyone gets trained, gun owner or not. It's just common sense. Those standing in the way of that (like teachers) should be charged with biased discrimination and incompetence, and removed from any position of authority, for the children's sake. ☆

WRITE FOR THE SENTINEL!

Do you have something to say?
If you're ready to shout at your TV, or tell paper pundits what you think and your thoughts make sense and you can back them up with real meaning—

The Sentinel can be your platform!

JPFO's Guarantee: We listen
Our Rules: Ask for our Writer's Guidelines.
Your task: Do a good job.
Opportunity is knocking, answer the door.
info@jpfo.org

WHAT DEFINES MIDNIGHT ON THE JPFO CONFISCATION CLOCK?

Alan Korwin, Bill of Rights Sentinel Editor

Mandatory confiscation at the point of a gun, in your home, for guns you already legally own, I think might qualify as midnight.

But it's more complicated than that.

When any firearms possession without government papers is a felony, this too is midnight. Some states are already there. So when ownership without government papers is banned that's midnight. Possession of legal private property, constitutionally protected property no less, must be preserved without question. It is tyrannical to act or think otherwise.

When carry in public is banned, or loaded possession even at home is banned, that too would be midnight. So we're already there in many places. The essence of the *Heller* Supreme Court case, that loaded possession at home is protected, has been ignored and a proposed ban reintroduced as federal law last year by House Dems, in the bill HR 5717. The High Court's rejection of such policy is simply ignored. That's practically midnight, one party turning off the lights. Don't members of that party earn imprisonment for denial of civil rights? If many common effective firearms and acces-

sories are banned that is certainly infringement, and we are already there nationally (e.g., NFA, GCA, bump stocks, drop tests). In states enduring magazine limits, sidearm and long-gun bans by type, looks or characteristics, we don't seem to think that's midnight per se. Really? It's awfully close.

Limits (but not bans) on ammo possession or purchase is way over the line but perhaps not quite midnight. Some folks will argue that, because we still have some rights, we're not there yet. JPFO is inclined to disagree, small bits of midnight selectively applied is midnight.

Now the Big Q: What happens after midnight—anything? At five minutes past midnight, federal authorities (or some of them) and cooperating state authorities, begin some sort of implementation. At ten minutes past, go figure. At 1 a.m., is there a novelist in the crowd? By some measures we're past midnight now. We're asking you for ideas and input, jpf@jpf.org. Is it midnight in America? Or can we save ourselves in this, our 11th hour.

Is there a measure for how far past midnight the nation can be pushed before there is demonstrable, power-based push back that says, "No further!" ☆



The JPFO Confiscation Clock inched two minutes closer to midnight and paused perilously close to more motion, with Democrats' introduction of HR127.

The JPFO Firearms Confiscation Clock hasn't moved in a while because, while the threats to firearms freedoms remain very real and alive, actual actions towards confiscation remain muted, or even dormant, at least at the federal level. States not so much. Democrats, the main proponents of disarming the public, seem literally afraid to act, which is good. Along with their left-wing, socialist and flat-out communist allies some recognize that their defund-the-police policies are counter-productive toward controlling crime. They sense the public could rise up in rightful indignation if they took direct, recognizable action against your constitutionally guaranteed private property (which is only done through armed government agents, or the threat of such). So for now, the Clock remains at nine minutes to midnight, and JPFO vigilantly stands watch. Join us, strengthen our reserves. jpf.org. ☆

Hatred and Projection

Are we making a mistake in debating and cajoling the left and Democrats about guns? They don't want to debate, not really, or be influenced. They simply want to win, and the means do not matter. They hate us (armed Americans). Pure, blind furious hatred, which they project onto us, and we too often take it to heart instead of calling BS on them. Diversity or inclusion? Not with our half of the nation. They want us wiped out politically—no voice, no representation, no grounds for argument, and certainly no

guns, with which comes power they cannot control. As we have all seen, they will lie blatantly to your face to achieve their goals. The lies can be as blatant as, "The borders are closed," after which they bus and air transport millions of the new arrivals nationwide. They fund it, run it, feed them, advertise for people to come, and then tell you the border is closed. They've done this with guns for decades, making things up that are mythology or lies. It's time to get wise and say No mas! ☆

Be safe, get firearms training. Teach the honorable use of weapons.

Support JPFO with a cup of Joe!

Show your office colleagues where you stand! Taste some fine brew! Give gifts!

Support our important work and get something back you can touch.

"You don't have to be Jewish to enjoy Irish coffee."

Discounts for quantities above... one. Order now: <https://store.jpfo.org>

Microwave and home dishwasher safe.





THE Wayback Machine

KEEPING JPFO FOUNDER AARON ZELMAN'S SPIRIT ALIVE

The Genocide Formula Excerpt from Death by Gun Control: The Human Cost of Victim Disarmament

by Richard Stevens and Aaron Zelman

This book shows the Genocide Formula in action in several countries around the world. Simply stated, the formula is:

**Hatred + Government +
Disarmed Civilians = Genocide**

Each term in the formula has a specific meaning:

Hatred: Fear, loathing, disgust, or malicious disregard for the life and well-being of a human being. The state of mind that would order, encourage or

permit the intentional killing of innocent people.

Hatred appears in many forms. It can be racism: the belief that one's race is superior to another, to the point that members of the inferior race can be degraded, victimized and killed. It can be ideology, as with Marxism and Communism: the belief that members of an economic class are parasites or traitors, and thus must be eliminated. It can be a doctrine of aggression: the demonization of designated enemies to make it acceptable, easy, rou-

tine, even "fun" to kill them. It can be personal resentment and desire for revenge. It can be the extreme desire for wealth and power that will tolerate no resistance.

Government: An organization that considers itself morally authorized to impose force and violence against persons in the name of religion or the greater good of the nation, race or humanity. The social apparatus that possesses the means to compel and coerce persons over whom it claims to rule. ☆

Will YOU do it?

The JPFO "Local Lansmen" political Salon has grown in size and intensity. When people like us (that includes you reading this right now) get together to openly discuss the firearms issues of the day, something special happens. Aside from the bonds it forms, and the great enjoyment of an evening well spent, the rights and preservation of firearms ownership in America moves ahead.

You want that to happen, don't you? Prove it.

Take a direct hand in preserving and strengthening the right to arms in our nation. JPFO's headquarters will support you—set up a simple periodic gathering of members and other interested parties. Begin with the decision. YOU must *decide* to act in support of your right to guns. Call or write for the few easy steps it takes to arrange and run a Local Lansmen discussion Salon. Getting

together with your compadres—friends you don't know you have yet—is a joy. Help launch a Salon in your neck of the woods.

What exactly is threatening your gun rights? Do you feel comfortable about carrying, or staying armed at home? Who and what present the greatest obstacles to your freedom to keep and bear? Did mass media recently get guns all wrong?

ostracism, and the tendency to dissolve complex policy issues in a blinding moral certainty." Signed by Salman Rushdie, J.K. Rowling, Gloria Steinem, Wynton Marsalis and 153 notables in all (first run in *Harper's* magazine and then spread widely). The "stifling atmosphere" being run by leftists (and worse) is no longer in the shadows. So-called "news" media support of this hellacious destructive force exposes our

mass media as anti-American. The threat to your arms comes in many forms, and culture shift is one of them.

Leftists, Marxists and the rest were beyond outraged at the statement, but even CNN.com called it a "courageous moral stand," but then went along to get along, saying it's "an affirmation of elitism and privilege." The culture war continues unabated. No shots fired, so far. ☆

**EMAIL: Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership,
<http://www.jpfo.org>**

WRITE: 12500 N.E. Tenth Place • Bellevue, WA 98005

CALL: 1-800-869-1884

The "woke" culture sweeping across this nation—a dire threat to preservation of the right to keep and bear arms—came under bitter attack from elite intellectuals. They showed, "intolerance of opposing views, a vogue for public shaming and



JEWS FOR THE PRESERVATION OF FIREARMS OWNERSHIP

12500 N.E. Tenth Place • Bellevue, WA 98005 • jpfo.org • (800) 869-1884 • info@jpfo.org

☐ Yes, I agree that Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership deserves the support of freedom-loving Americans who cherish their constitutional right to keep and bear arms. Enclosed is my check or credit-card contribution.

☐ **Join or** ☐ \$25 Annual ☐ \$40 Two Year ☐ \$55 Three Year ☐ \$500 Life

☐ **Renew** ☐ \$30 1-Year Friend of JPFO ☐ \$360 Senior Life (over 65 years old)

☐ I want to make automatic contributions of \$_____ per ☐ Month ☐ Quarter

☐ **Donate** ☐ \$25 ☐ \$50 ☐ \$100 ☐ \$250 ☐ \$500 ☐ \$_____ other

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip Code _____

JPFO is a 501(c)3 organization and donations are 100% deductible as charitable contributions for federal income tax purposes.
See our website for merchandise and promo you get automatically when you join up with us!

Donate Online at jpfo.org

"We make other groups look like moderates."



Please be as generous as your means will allow.

Card Number _____ CVC code _____

Expiration Date _____ Phone Number _____

Name on Credit Card _____

Email Address _____

Cardholder's Signature _____

**"You don't have to be Jewish to fight by our side.
You just have to love liberty."**