



"We make the other groups look like moderates."

Vol. 1, No. 11

Is Our Country Worth Dying For?

by Mike Palmer

If "enemies foreign," like North Koreans, came for your guns, you would probably shoot to stop them. But what if "enemies domestic" came for your guns? Would you shoot? Or, better stated, is it worth dying for your country? (Because that's what will happen to you.) I have decided that, given the state of my fellow countrymen, my answer is "No."

When Hurricane Irma hit the U.S. Virgin Islands, the Governor there signed an order "authorizing" the seizure of arms. Ironically, his stated goal in disarming the people was to maintain the "safety of the people." Under his order, the V.I. National Guard could conceivably go door-to-door demanding citizens' firearms.

The order was issued despite the fact that, just ten years earlier, a similar order, also signed by an official (a mayor), similarly during a hurricane (Katrina), resulted in an illegal gun grab—and a permanent U.S. District Court ban against further gun grabs following

New Orleans' unconstitutional actions.

The Virgin Islands action lit up social media with talk about what good citizens should do. Some, like moderate NRA-types, said fight the order in court—the government's battlefield. How wise is that? Others said fight in the field instead, another questionable choice—fight hordes of soldiers simply following orders. I wonder, maybe fight the one giving the orders?

Now, years ago it finally occurred to me that the Second Amendment wasn't about hunting, or fundamentally about defending yourself and family, though both these matter. From time immemorial everyone knew you had a right to defend yourself. No, the Second Amendment was about keeping the public armed so they could fight against their own government—with a chance of winning—if it became tyrannical. Ironically, leftists understand this implicitly. It's why they're so determined to disarm us, so no one can resist their tyrannical goals.

Since governments eventually be-

come tyrannical, at some point in time the people have to shoot to stop the tyrants. Jefferson argued this should happen every 20 years. In King George's day juries were nullifying the King when their countrymen were caught. But today?

The Virgin Islands hurricane-justified gun ban fleshes this out. Armed agents show up at your house demanding your guns. Clearly they're violating the Constitution and the oath they swore. You tell them you have a Second Amendment right. They draw down on you. You shoot first. That's what occurred with a Branch Davidian at Waco.

What will happen to you if you survive the firestorm?

First, you'll be demonized by government and compliant media lapdogs. Even if you live-streamed the event, there will be conflicting records and controversy over what really happened. Few will know the truth, your motives, and the spin will be dizzying.

Continued on Page 6

Gun-Tracing Purpose... Announced?

It was NEVER about crime control

by Dean Weingarten

"Gun-control" zealots instituted the idea of "tracing" lost-and-found guns back to their original owners, with the Gun Control Act of 1968. Guns used in crime could be traced too, but that point is a bit murky.

Identifying a source might help cut off the flow, at least in theory. But for the crime itself, bad guy caught, gun taken in evidence, facts of the case known, is the gun source material? The trace has no relationship to stopping the crime or getting convictions. It doesn't make a real lot of sense as a crime-fighting tool.

Guns used in crime are frequently stolen, have their numbers removed, were bought through straw purchasers, or have been through numerous untraceable owners, come from overseas black markets, creating a mess for that concept.

Tracing guns was never really about returning guns to their legal owners—that defies logic—the people behind the plan want to disarm the public, right? Authorities already have a functioning system for returning stolen property, the National Crime Information Center (NCIC). In the NCIC, guns reported

stolen are cross checked against guns that come into police hands. When matches are made, police attempt to contact the owners so they can reclaim their property.

Both New Zealand and Canada, after suffering through similar tracing/registration schemes, abandoned them with their police ready to revolt at the cost and cop time turned into paper-pusher time. It cost Canada skyward of a billion dollars before they came to their senses and got out.

The 1968 GCA was jammed through Congress by President Johnson in an emotional rush after political assassinations. Johnson wanted a national regis-

Continued on Page 5

December 2017

Million Shekel Quiz

"There are no right answers, except of course there are."

Would it be OK to disarm Jews as long as everyone else could keep all their guns?

☐ Yes. ☐ No. ☐ Don't know.

Would it be OK to disarm the Ku Klux Clan and White Supremacists as long as everyone else could keep their guns?

☐ Yes. ☐ No. ☐ Don't know.

- **3** Would it be OK to disarm everyone and just leave officials heavily armed?
 - \square Yes. \square No. \square I never thought of it that way.
- Isn't there any group we could disarm, and leave the rest armed, so the world would be a bit more peaceful and safe?
 - \square Yes. \square No. \square Don't know.
 - $\hfill\square$ Wrong question.

- Does bearing arms contribute to the civil balance of power that keeps everyone safe, from nations to hamlets, in this completely hostile world filled with tyrants, bandits, bigots, a U.N. bristling with despots, untrustworthy bureaucrats, sneaky government "operatives," corrupt "officials," angry neighbors, groups with seething prejudices, exactly as the Second Amendment was brilliantly designed to do?

 □ Yes. □ Yes. □ Yes.
- **6** Would it be OK to ban just some small plastic gun attachments just this once?
- ☐ Yes. ☐ No. ☐ I don't listen well. Would it be OK to ban just those
- little guns poor people use on Saturday nights?
 - \square Yes. $\stackrel{\cdot}{\square}$ No. \square I don't get this at all.

- Would it be OK to ban people on a secret government list if they call it the No-Fly List, because some Islamic warriors are immune to metal detectors and all other airport security measures [Editor: Say what? Is that correct? Does anyone buy that?]
- Yes. □ No. □ Don't know.
 Are all proposed gun bans really just part of a long-term socialist
 - Just part of a long-term socialist goal, a power grab by the elites, a way to control the public, a violation of the oath of office, a really bad idea and a way to destroy the great American dream?

 □ Yes. □ Yes. □ Absolutely Yes.

Join JPFO today and fight the false promise of "gun control." Send this test to your friends.

Biblical Weapon Control

Is the Philistines' ban on "Hebrew blacksmiths" the earliest recorded attempt to control weapons?

by Mark Pixler

I spoke with an elderly gentleman yesterday about so-called "gun control." He pointed out this verse from 1 Samuel in the Old Testament. It seems the Philistines banning Hebrew blacksmiths is akin to modern politicians attempt to ban the manufacture and sale of "accessories" but I don't know how to make the point without sounding like a Bible thumper (which I'm not).

"Israel Without Weapons"

"Saul and his son Jonathan and the men with them were staying in Gibeah in Benjamin, while the Philistines camped at Mikmash. Raiding parties went out from the Philistine camp in three detachments.... Not a blacksmith could be found in the whole land of Israel, because the Philistines had said, 'Otherwise the Hebrews will make swords or spears!'

"So all Israel went down to the Philistines to have their plow points,

mattocks, axes and sickles sharpened. The price was two-thirds of a shekel for sharpening plow points and mattocks, and a third of a shekel for sharpening forks and axes and for repointing goads. So on the day of the battle not a soldier with Saul and Jonathan had a sword or spear in his hand; only Saul and his son Jonathan had them."

When Hebrew blacksmiths are banned, only the Philistines will have swords and spears!

Rabbi Dovid Bendory notes: "Indeed, in one of my previous articles or lectures, I talked about this very case as the earliest known historical instance of 'gun control.' I note now that it did the Philistines as much good as it does their modern-day adherents to the idea."

Those were extremely expensive prices for the day, and it did indeed leave the Hebrews without decent arms. Though as we know, good triumphed over evil in the long run.





Publisher: Alan Gottleib Editor: Alan Korwin Administration: Boyd Kneeland Rabbi Emeritus: Dovid Bendory

Contributors:

Kjartan Arnorsson; Dr. Julius Decker; Larry Grupp; Mike Palmer; Mark Pixler; Richard Stevens; Dean Weingarten;

Aaron Zelman (archival); and JPFO Staff

The Bill of Rights Sentinel is published by
Jews for the Preservation
of Firearms Ownership

12500 N.E. Tenth Place Bellevue, WA 98005 800-869-1884

Copyright 2017 JPFO

Address Change: Include new address, city, state and zip code. Send to *The Bill of Rights Sentinel* at 12500 N.E. Tenth Place, Bellevue, WA 98005 or e-mail to info@jpfo.org. Please allow up to four weeks for changes.

Disarming America Is Easy! WHAT COULD POSSIBLY GO WRONG?

Leftists don't understand the consequences of their misguided goals

Put aside the fact that Americans would not go quietly into that dark night. Anti-gun-rights indoctrination has been running at high speed for decades now, in our schools, mass media and so-called "news" outlets. Yet this nation remains a long way from acquiescing to the marxist/socialist goal of civil disarmament. We have an innate feeling for freedom, and gun possession is at the heart of it.

Although one party is weaker on gun-rights than it should be, only one party champions the disarmament and control cause—the leftists. They understand that with all power concentrated in government hands, government is free from constraint and can do what it pleases. Government is people, and the leftists expect to be those people. The balance of power an armed populace represents is what has kept American free all this time. Do not relinquish your arms. Work to remove from power any people who act to disarm you—they are evil.





BUT THIS IS THE FEDS, NOT THE GIRL SCOUTS. SO THE PRACTICAL EFFECT OF SUCH A SUCESSFUL GUN-GRAB PROGRAM WOULD BE THE CONCENTRATION OF ALL THE COUNTRY'S FIREPOWER INTO GOVERNMENT HANDS. THIS HAS HAPPENED BEFORE, WITH OTHER GOVERNMENTS, AND IT SELDOM ENDS WELL.




Illustration by Kjartan Arnorsson

Plan to SHOOT Attackers

by Richard Stevens Author, *Dial 911 and Die*

For more than 20 years I've advocated that anybody attending a church

or civic meeting should seriously consider carrying a concealed firearm, for just such occasions as the massacre at the First Baptist Church of Sutherland Springs in Texas. Some churches in Southern and Western states tend not to mind the idea, but in the upper northeast, San Francisco, L.A., Washington State, the prevailing gun phobia has fogged the minds of otherwise good people. Maybe now they will "get it."

Being Christian, I can tell you: There is nothing Scriptural about remaining

unarmed and unprepared for attackers. There is nothing in the Torah or the New Testament saying, "thou shalt remain a sitting duck."

There is nothing scriptural about remaining unarmed and unprepared for attackers. There is nothing in the Torah or the New Testament saying, "Thou shalt remain a sitting duck."

One armed person inside that Texas church could well have stopped the whole massacre. I don't advise depend-

ing on just the one, however. Every elder, deacon, and mature adult ought to consider being armed. In parts of the U.S. there should be no cultural resist-

ance to intelligent and trained self-defense preparation. It is time the decent people of America get smart, get armed and plan to shoot attackers. That's right: Plan to Shoot Attackers. Expect Attackers. Get Armed and Practice! There is no good reason now to continue a mindset of, "It won't happen here."

With Hollywood and our TVs constantly grinding out immoral promotion for this

sort of evil violent behavior, you can expect more—and it no longer surprises anyone.


December 2017

The Moyel's Tips

Shooting Sho

MURDERS

While the "news" media persists in calling everything a "shooting," this attacks everyone's right to shoot, and distorts the fact that America is having a problem with—murders. You watch. Shooter this, shooter that, should be murderer this, murderer that. It changes the whole spin. They know it. They want to assault your right to arms, and hide the fact that copycat and psycho murderers are encouraged constantly by TV, movies and the so-called "news." Next time you see or hear "shooter" think "killer" and watch how the whole narrative changes.



Tear Down That Coil!

While democrats (primarily), joined by antifa and assorted leftists who seek to destroy statues reminding them of their role in defending slavery and the Civil War, we say tear down this abominable affront to the Bill of Rights and our precious right to arms. It stands brazenly in front of the tyrant-packed U.N. in New York City. To some twisted minds it symbolizes peace, but it is not about disarming government, armies and dictators, it is about disarming you. It is a direct assault on the U.S. Constitution and if any statue needs destruction, this is the one. Tear down that coil!

Gun Haters Defeat Themselves

In a bizarre attempt to make an antigun case, a leftist debater recently tried justifying gun registration by suggesting, after every other argument failed miserably, if only we had a registry of every gun and gun owner in America, we could tell who owned stolen guns when they're found, and return them to their owners. So instead of taking guns away (where this debate started), the registry would help us give guns back!

This was after dismissing the mindnumbing cost of a list of 300 million guns, matched to 100 million owners, all of whom are innocent—because there's no way to locate and include armed criminals. Besides, that would be illegal—they would have to self-incriminate to get on the list. And if we could find those criminals we could just arrest them for illegal possession! Stymied, the lefty whined, "I don't know what you mean by criminal." These folks really are clueless. The point about registry as a precursor to genocide was totally lost on them.

City Chickens Out

The officials running Washington, D.C., realizing their needs-based carry-permit system was an illegal infringement on the public's right to arms, decided not to pursue the matter in court, and face a crushing defeat. The system allowed them arbitrarily control over who got a permit. In Wrenn v. District of Columbia, brought by the Second Amendment Foundation, the court found the "good cause" requirement was unconstitutional. Officials should be on our side, defend the Constitution, and work to preserve and enforce our rights.

Once again we plainly see that's the exact opposite of what those leeches do. If the Founders hadn't set up such a balanced system, and left us armed to make sure it is exercised, we would have lost control long ago. A Supreme Court defeat in this case would have proved deadly for similar arbitrary control in at least New York, New Jersey, Maryland and California, so the gremlins in D.C. decided it wasn't worth the "risk" to their control, and they gave up. It's a win for us, but a sad commentary for the nation.

Nowhere to Run

In this issue's cover story, writer Mike Palmer asks if it's time, like it was in pre-WWII Germany, to leave America. Officials murder countless people and are never brought to trial. If you defend yourself against tyranny your trial is filled with monkeys. At this point, corrupt top officials can even give away our nuclear materials and proliferation controls, to our worst enemies, without repercussion—or just send them pallets of cash at night! The question remains, where would you go?

In WWII you could flee to... here! And even we would turn boatloads of people away, many back to their deaths. If America falters, as Palmer fears and many of you agree, what stands between this planet and communism, sharia, or the other dark tyrannical forces that seek to crush liberty and subjugate the human race to the will of the few? Yes, my friends, it is an ugly question. Where would you go?

Why an Oath?

"The purpose of the oath is to induce fear in the liar, to discourage him from persevering in his dishonesty." – Jewish Virtual Library. We have reached a turning point in history where too many of our leaders have so little moral fiber left that their oaths mean nothing, they no longer fear G-d or any sort of reprisal, and none appears poised on the horizon, despite an armed populace.

Spree Killers Don't Set Policy

It doesn't matter if the rumors are true, false or total baloney—America's spate of mass murders may be Brown Shirt set ups, designed to get a specific political reaction, but Americans aren't buying it as anti-rights maggots on the left are hoping. Those radical left-wing miscreants dance in the blood of the victims (a phrase deftly introduced by the late Neal Knox). They do it in the vain hope of achieving their socialist goal of gathering up guns and coalescing power in Central Command, the misguided idiots. At this point, shooting little children, or white countrymusic-concert goers, it turns out it doesn't matter. We the people see through it, and we don't shift our opinions on our rights. It's not the guns. It's the psychotics. It's the jihadis. And the lamestream refuses to pay that any attention. Focus on Hollyvomit and the programming (correct word) on TV every night. Don't over-

Continued on Page 7

The Lethal-Trust Secret Handshake

by Alan Korwin

There is a moment when any normal American, one who is gun savvy and not hoplophobic, notices that another American is armed, considers a dozen factors in a brief moment, and experiences the lethal-trust secret handshake.

If you're a JPFO member reading this you understand implicitly what this is. You've experienced it often. However, if you're member of the media you likely experience fear and life-threatening terror at that same moment. It likely defines you as a journalist, and why you went to that dark side.

The lethal-trust secret handshake that armed people experience is complex and simple at the same time. Everyone you meet under any conditions—which includes your best friends, relatives, police officers, politicians, total strangers, sales staff, lunch-counter employees—can suddenly reach out and kill you. That's the way life is on this planet, we all know it. You take it in stride. That's life. They can have kitchen knives. People whose guns you can see peeking out under their shirts, or right out in the open, it doesn't matter. Some people

prey on other people (Col. Jeff Cooper said that). Anyone could be a deadly threat. Almost no one is.

When you notice someone deliberately armed, and that person notices that you notice, an ease of tensions normally takes place—because decent people don't kill each other. Everyone knows that too. Whether you're armed or not, we the people don't kill each other. Criminals do. That's what hap-

"An Armed Society is a Polite Society"

pens in the brief moment when you size each other up, gauge body language, get the vibe, and secretly invisibly shake hands. I'm OK, you're OK. You're armed—and for all you know, I might be too. An armed society is a polite society. Maybe there's a subtle smile, a meeting of the eyes.

Now if you're hoplophobic, which includes basically most reporters, the entire left wing, most (but not all) democrats, and, you know if it includes you, this doesn't work, but I repeat myself. The desire to run, freak out, abandon all faith ye who entered there, that's your lot until you seek treatment, which most won't. Refusing help is a symptom of the malady—denial and refusal to seek help. Sufferers fester. It's why dialog and debate works so poorly. It's not a political problem. It's a medical one.

People who never get to experience the lethal-trust secret handshake could never attend an open-carry annual banquet, like the one recently held in Tucson for the Arizona Citizens Defense League. Hundreds and hundreds of us gathering, openly armed, once again, it's almost boring. Maybe that's as it should be. We've done it so many times it's routine. The fact that everyone around you can be deadly—but isn't—is a fact of life.

The difference is that some people recognize that fact, live with it consciously, and veer to the right and the righteous. Other people, denying the reality they live in, live in fear of others, project their fear onto those around them, and are left with those on the left, left out of rational thought.

JPFO Founder Aaron Zelman's reasonable, common-sense goal:

The destruction of "gun control" as any kind of credible policy position. "It is an evil false promise of safety, leaving innocent people vulnerable."

Gun-Tracing Purpose... Announced? Continued from Page 1

tration system for all guns, which he couldn't get. The trace system was the resulting compromise. The voluminous record keeping and costs in the GCA are required for tracing. Chicago's recent study of it, "Gun Trace Report," illuminates its futility. Lost and stolen guns aren't found lying around.

We tried making sense of Chicago's stats, but they're so poorly done we can't. For example, 56% of the guns

confiscated were traced. Not could be traced, were traced. Why? It doesn't say. That right there invalidates any conclusions, but it gets worse. Of those, 91.6% were one-time purchases by one person. There's no conclusion to draw from that, and 81% were purchased more than three years before police got them. From this we learn, what, exactly?

What does all this effort produce that is usable? Nothing except

propaganda. If you deduced the purpose of a trace system is to implement a national registration scheme, you appear to be correct. A complete list for finding any stolen gun is literally national gun registration—the acknowledged prerequisite for confiscation and disarming the public. To clarify it, the Chicago document calls for national registration. That cat was never in the bag.

December 2017 5

CAUTION:

Don't "Sell Your Soul" by Giving Away Your DNA to Ancestry Services

Would you give your DNA to cops voluntarily, without having committed a crime? DNA, the very stuff that defines who you are, which you leave behind everywhere you travel? You are now being solicited to do virtually that, and pay for it, with a promise of benefits, the truth of which you can't verify. Outfits advertising DNA testing, for the low low price of whatever, will tell you that, despite everything you know about yourself from your relatives and hundreds of years of hand-me-down history, you're really X-percent something else, just get the kit, spit, and get the scientific truth. But wait—there's more.

Read the small print. They can profit from your DNA, you indemnify them from any harm, your privacy is compromised, they keep all your ID, if they make a huge discovery and use

Sure, what could go wrong with a database that identifies Jews?

your ID to make millions you're cut out, with no options. You won't even know. "Authorities" can't use the data, but you trust that, right? A huge bank of everyone's DNA, and the CIA can't figure that out? Don't be an idiot.

Dallas Police have been sued repeatedly for false positives and lab incompetence in criminal cases involving DNA. How good is a private lab's record? You have no FOIA-records-request option to use. Even the Moyel doesn't know.

Sure, what could go wrong with a database that identifies Jews, or you, and you didn't even know you were part Jewish, or the system thinks you are, or tells you that you are? It's almost as bad as having an Amazon Echo listening device in your home. But at least it's inexpensive.

Is Our Country Worth Dying For? Continued from Page 1

Government will label you an obsessed, mentally unstable, gun nut—a coward—who took law into his own hands. Of course, law was being broken in government's hands, a point the media will never make plain, if at all. Even your NRA buddies may parrot the official position—you should have let them take your guns and gone to court later—if you survived the armed looters and starvation.

Even if you could present your side—that you were defending your country—no judge who gets the case will instruct your jury that the Second Amendment was meant to stop tyrants. Read that line again, it's key, and true. Jurors educated in government schools won't know this on their own either. And if your peers who do know let on they know, or know about jury nullification, they won't be empaneled. You're not in a court of justice. You're in the government's court of law and precedent.

We'll be with you in spirit—but you'll be by yourself. And you'll be executed.

As a bad guy. Even though you fought admirably for your country. Maybe, in the best scenario, your case will touch off public riots and start a movement. But I haven't seen that happen after the Bundy persecutions.

So look, not enough people care about or even know the Constitution today. Many who think they know it have it backwards. No government official is executed, let alone imprisoned or fired for violating the Constitution. Or even for committing murder "under color of law." So what's the point of defending the Constitution with your life?

Now, if I thought that ten percent of my countrymen cared, and might nullify a judge, I might be willing to sacrifice. Or if I thought there was a ten percent chance that President Trump might pardon citizens who defended the Constitution, I might sacrifice. But President Trump has already indicated that, although he favors the Second Amendment, he's on the side of cops. Nor has he forged ahead with prorights gun bills that are righteous and

needed. If actions speak louder than words, then he doesn't appear to understand, as far as I can tell, what the Second Amendment is really about.

So I'm sorry to say that today, from what I've seen, my country—or more correctly, my countrymen—are not worth dying for. They don't know their rights. They wouldn't die for me. And too many who know their rights have ceded the battle. Sad to say, I fear it's over. So now this is the question that remains: Is it time to take a cue from those who left Nazi Germany and leave this increasingly socialistic country...while we still can?

Editor's note: Is there anything we can do to preserve freedom and save the greatest nation the planet has ever known, or is the author correct, it's too late? If you were going to cut and run, where would you go?

Mike Palmer, a Christian evangelist who has seen his share of abuse by government agents, has tried to defend the Constitution in government courts.

Confiscation Clock Moves Neither Way

Pro-rights and anti-rights forces are deadlocked



It's hard to feel good about the JPFO Gun Confiscation Clock, whose hands remain put in this edition of *The Sentinel*. The United States should not need such a thing in the first place. We are the shining light of freedom on planet Earth. It's an embarrassing affront to the Constitution and our political system that we have devolved to such a place.

What would it take to wind the clock back? Let's start with rapid enactment of the two major bills stalled in Congress, National Reciprocity S.446 (stuck in committee since 2/27/17) and the Hearing Protection Act, H.R. 367 (frozen in committee since 2/6/17). "We" have all three houses, and zero action. Draw your own

conclusion. That's just for starters.

But how about a bill to encourage marksmanship training for a high-school diploma? Money for all sorts of other claptrap can be re-purposed. A public trained to arms is necessary to the security of a free people. So at least, laws making this difficult can be repealed, that would go a long way. We need to repeal bans on imports, reduce restrictive taxes, strengthen self-defense laws, restore jury powers, turn the legal system into a justice system again. The list is endless. When the country starts turning around, the clock's hands will start turning away from midnight. If the oathviolating proponents of infringement are turned out of office that might work as well.

The Moyel's Tips Continued from Page 4

look Big Pharma and the way they're drugging the public.

You've Got to Be Taught

"You've got to be taught to hate and fear, you've got to be taught from year to year, it's got to be drummed in your dear little ear, you've got to be carefully taught."
—from "South Pacific,"

by Rodgers and Hammerstein

The radical left wing, which has taken control of pop culture and mass media, is on a bender to teach gun fear and they are reaching their side of the aisle. They have convinced a majority of teachers (now called educators), too many politicians, journalists and a segment of the public that firearms—the noble harbingers of freedom, peace and safety—are bad bad bad. They're wrong wrong wrong. We have our work cut out for us. Please—get your friends and relatives to join JPFO so we can win this struggle. You've got to be taught.

Insurance Against Gun Ownership

A professor at the University of Michigan is calling for a novel kind of insurance, as a way to attack gun ownership. Doesn't seem to bother him that it compromises the fundamental tenets of American law. You would need to insure yourself as an original owner, against anyone else ever using that gun for harm, for which you would be liable. Pretty clever, eh? Removes responsibility for crime from the criminal and places it on you, for shopping. Think of it as a violence tax, he suggests. We say, arrest that man for being offensive.

Readers Write

"There's a story in the Bible where the Apostle Paul (a Roman citizen) is jailed and flogged without a trial. When he confronted the leaders who did it to him and told him he was a Roman citizen, they were terrified that they had violated the Constitution of their day (*Acts* 16:37). I have never seen a gov-

ernment agent terrified of violating the Constitution. In fact, when I sued a Prescott [Ariz.] cop over a blatant 4th Amendment violation (they settled with me), Prescott promoted him to Sergeant!" – Mike P.

"I find the defenseless victim attitudes of American Jews, as well as their socialism, thoroughly contemptible. Jewish gun guys are of course an exception. I personally dream of kicking Chuck Schumer in the balls. Yes, I'll play the Jewish-ancestry "Never Again" card when testifying to the legislature on RKBA. I have fond memories of my Yiddishe bubbie, she was a trip, with stories about escaping from the Russian secret police (whom she called the Cossacks), but while I don't shy away from the Jewish label, I won't have anything to do with typical Jewish organizations or groups." - Name withheld on request

Moyel, n. the person who performs a circumcision.

Do You Have Your JPFO Swag Yet?

We gots da good stuff. Get a bissel mazel. Wear your proud on your sleeve.

For a good time go to JPFO.ORG. Like, now. Wow.

T-Shirts • Books • Posters • Pins • Jugs • Stickers

* Support for the most aggressive civil-rights group in America. *

SHOP • DONATE • JOIN • JPFO.ORG • 800-869-1884

December 2017

From The "Wayback Machine"

JPFO Founder Aaron Zelman had written to NRA board member Ted Nugent:

"Ted, I'll join the NRA... "When the NRA soundly condemns, and works tirelessly to abolish, the 'Gun Control Act of 1968.' NRA lawyers actually helped to write this piece of totalitarian legislation. JPFO was the first to expose 'GCA 1968' for what it was: a near carbon copy of the Nazi German gun laws of the 1930s. See: JPFO's book: Gun Control, Gateway to Tyranny.

"The NRA needs to man up and confess that it made a terrible mistake here. It needs to go on an Obama-style apology tour of every State, and personally confess this sin to American gun owners. And then repent.

"Gun registration (brought to you by GCA '68) is intolerable in a free society. In Nazi Germany, Jews were forced to identify themselves with a yellow star. In America, our citizens are forced to identify their ownership of firearms with a form called a 4473 and concealed-weapon permits.

"Now, with the computer age fully entrenched in our society, a centralized database of all gun owners and their firearms' serial numbers is just a mouse click away. The BATFE claims it would never do this. But they are already do-

ing it with their phony eTrace program. They actually share information about American gun owners with foreign governments! This could have ominous repercussions.

"Let's analyze why 'GCA '68' even passed. It was because of the political assassinations of John F. Kennedy, Robert F. Kennedy and Martin Luther King. Without those three murders, the American people would have scoffed at the idea of national "gun control." The highly emotionalized loss of the lives of three public figures, tragic though it was, created enough manipulated hysteria in this nation to essentially throw the Second Amendment out the window.

"But what about the hundreds of thousands of brave Americans who have died fighting, generation after generation, to protect our Bill of Rights? Instead of standing firm in the face of this 1968 'gun control' travesty, when a far more conservative America could have been effectively rallied, the NRA got in bed with the gun prohibitionists and actually helped pen the legislation. See: "NRA Supported the National Firearms act of 1934 and GCA 68."

JPFO notes Aaron's letter could not

be more timely with the NRA's apparent position on so-called "bump stock" infringement (actually Slide Fire, made by a very nice firm in Texas), now all the rage with socialists and gun grabbers in America. One criminal maniac slays people and the NRA quickly agrees to consider new regulations for a plastic non-gun add-on the psychopath apparently used.

Sure, it may have been a clever distraction; no-nothings on the gunhating side were smartly undercut and got to say, "See, we were right all along!" while the wind left their sails. Short of one lawyer, the head of CBS-news legal, who bragged online she didn't care about the dead, they were country-music fans, likely republicans, and so they didn't matter, getting her sorry butt fired. Do you really think she was the only one in the department she led who felt like she did?

What fools they all are, fighting plastic add-ons instead of the psychos, jihadis and politicians causing the real trouble, and Hollyvomit, TV, and the rest encouraging copycats and destroying what little is left of moral fabric in this once fine nation. Aaron was right.

Look at what you get when you join JPFO!

One-year subscription to The Bill of Rights Sentinel—"The Voice of JPFO"; Online news alerts; JPFO Official News Releases to the media; Wallet cards; Bumper sticker; JPFO lit-

See our website for merchandise and promo you get automatically when you join up with us!

erature assortment; Membership card, Enamel membership pin, and: Depending on your level of membership: T-shirts, Books, Hats, Gran'pa Jack booklets, DVDs—see our website for specifics, subject to availability.

JEWS FOR THE PRESERVATION OF FIREARMS OWNERSHIP 12500 N.E. Tenth Place • Bellevue, WA 98005 • jpfo.org • (800) 869-1884 • info@jpfo.org	Donate Online at jpfo.org "We make other groups look like moderates."
Yes, I agree that Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership deserves the support of freedom-loving Americans who cherish their constitutional right to keep and bear arms. Enclosed is my check or credit-card contribution.	MasterCard VISA AMERICAN DISCOVER NETWORK
 Join or □ \$25 Annual □ \$40 Two Year □ \$55 Three Year □ \$500 Life Renew □ \$30 1-Year Friend of JPFO □ \$360 Senior Life (over 65 years old) 	Please be as generous as your means will allow.
☐ I want to make automatic contributions of \$ per ☐ Month ☐ Quarter	Card Number CVC code
□ Donate □ \$25 □ \$50 □ \$100 □ \$250 □ \$500 □ \$ other	Expiration Date Phone Number
lame	Name on Credit Card
Address	Email Address
City State Zip Code	Cardholder's Signature
IPFO is a 501(c)3 organization and donations are 100% deductible as charitable contributions for federal income tax purposes.	"You don't have to be Jewish to fight by our s

Bill of Rights Sentinel

You just have to love liberty."