## Make the Difference, Politicians Won't

#### by Frank Lee

Can freedom-loving people trust their government? In years past, Americans could point at other benighted nations and terrible tyrannies, comfortably trusting America's governmental system was not only a lot better but positively good. Other nations suffered without liberty, while America's Bill of Rights was secure in the hands of elected patriots—of both parties.

If you didn<sup>5</sup>t set your political alarm clock to awaken you, then consider this your fire alarm wailing. Politicians who promise to protect your rights cannot be trusted to protect any of them.

You can easily remember majority republicans in the Senate and House of Representatives passed laws between 2012 and 2015 to repeal Obamacare. They knew president Hussein-Obama would veto any such repeal effort. He vetoed them all. They knew that. They snowed you.

GOP politicians promised to "repeal Obamacare" just as soon as the GOP controlled both houses of Congress and the presidency. You could bank on it, they said. In 2017, republicans controlled all three institutions. As we saw several times, republican legislators would not vote together to repeal Obamacare. Some rightly complained the "replace Obamacare" add-on did little but tweak the existing law and retain its socialist core. Others had various rationales based on getting re-elected. Senator McCain single-handedly and proudly blocked repeal because he hates president Trump.

What's the take-away point? JPFO's founder, Aaron Zelman, said it from the beginning: You cannot trust politicians to protect individual rights. One of America's major parties doesn't even believe in the Bill of Rights, especially the Second Amendment. Read that again. The other major party says it stands firmly for rights, only to do nothing to advance them when their candidates attain power.

Only individuals and like-minded groups of citizens can protect individual G-d-given rights. Politicians have incentives to acquire, retain, and expand personal influence and government power. A few may be principled lovers of liberty. But the incentives and processes in centralized politics still push toward big government and powerless citizens, not rights protection.

Aaron Zelman literally gave his life to teach Americans to speak to their hearts and minds about the blessings of liberty and the dangers our Bill of Rights faces constantly. Every JPFO member, every lover of liberty, must press tirelessly to

Continued on Page 2

## You're With Me — an Oppressed Minority

#### by Peter Caroline

I was born into an oppressed minority group. When I was growing up more than a few country clubs resorts and neighborhoods were NJA... No Jews Allowed.

When I was at Columbia University, there were several national fraternities that barred Jewish membership. Since then, circumstances have greatly improved for G-d's Chosen People, but I seem to be a member of yet another oppressed and despised "minority" group. And if you're reading this, you are also very likely a member of that hated group—hated by people who will tell you they denounce hate.

We American gun owners, all 100 million of us, are an oppressed minority. How could that be? After all, we can vote, use public restrooms and water fountains, live wherever we please, and our kids can go to the same schools as any other kids. And, we enjoy the same constitutional rights as any other American citizens... well, maybe not quite.

The Second Amendment is the most widely violated article of the Bill of Rights. The violations are tolerated—by the intolerant. Think of all the places you can't go while armed... schools, public buildings, parks and a whole list of restaurants and stores. It gets worse when you travel. Let's say you're a Virginia resident and have a carry permit from that state. You decide to visit your relatives in Maine, maybe enjoy some target practice with your cousins while you're there. You pack your sidearm and ammo in a locked case in your trunk, and head north.

On the New Jersey Turnpike a state trooper spots your out-of-state plate and your NRA bumper sticker. He stops you for a "routine equipment check." He asks you if you have a gun in the car—a bogus fishing expedition that should get him arrested. If you say no or decline to answer, he will detain you until he has backup and dogs. If you tell him about the legally secured gun in the trunk, he'll (unconstitutionally) arrest you for possession of private property. Your Virginia papers are not recognized in New Jersey. Ditto New York, Massachusetts, Maryland, etc. enroute. Would you dare use the business end of your gun for its intended purpose—resistance to obvious tyrannical oppression? Does "Never again!" and "My cold dead fingers!" still matter?

It's the same story with air travel. You can faithfully obey all the FAA and airline rules for transporting firearms, but if you have the misfortune to change planes at LaGuardia or Kennedy, you can expect to leave the airport in handcuffs. Yes, it has happened. You won't get near the "Bullets first!" option.

Continued on Page 6

September 2017

## Million Shekel Quiz

## "There are no right answers, except of course there are."

- If knives and knife owners were government registered because blades are very sharp and deadly dangerous, accidents would drop, stabbings would drop, crime would drop.
  - ☐ Yes. ☐ No. ☐ Don't know.
- A government knife registry has a 2. solid crime-fighting component and isn't a waste of funds.
  - $\square$  Yes.  $\square$  No.  $\square$  Don't know.
- **3** Background checks and waiting/cooling-off periods for knives would reduce stabbings and knifewielding muggings.
  - $\square$  Yes.  $\square$  No.  $\square$  Don't know.
- A knife background check system would include the knives crimi-
  - ☐ Yes. ☐ No. ☐ Don't know.
- **5** If the knife background check system crashed and people couldn't legally buy knives, everyone would be able to get knives anyway.
- $\square$  Yes.  $\square$  No.  $\square$  Don't know. Repeat for guns. Call your congressman to recommend this test.

JPFO wishes "Muzzle Tov" to GRPC 2017 in Dallas!

## Make the Difference, Politicians Won't, continued from Page 1

educate each new generation. The easiest and most powerful way to do that: assemble a group of people and show JPFO's top-quality videos. Or send people links to JPFO videos on YouTube. Today we can point-and-click our timeless message of life and liberty to Generation X, Y, Millennials, and so many others who've never heard it.

We cannot rely on any politician or political party to protect our rights, no matter how much they claim the principles and pound their fists at speeches. We Americans are our own last hope.

We have the tools, we have the venues, we have the truth. We know with certainty that nobody in Washington will protect our rights for us. Seize this very day, patriots!

## Zelman's reasonable, common-sense goal:

The destruction of "gun control" as any kind of credible policy position. "It is an evil false promise of safety, leaving innocent people vulnerable."









Publisher: Alan Gottleib Editor: Alan Korwin

Administration: Ashlee Golden-Sullivan

Contributors:

Rabbi Dovid Bendory, Rabbinic Director; Frank Lee; Peter Caroline; Dr. Julius Decker; Noah Tallman; Richard Busch; Kjartan Arnorsson; Aaron Zelman (archival); and JPFO Staff

The *Bill of Rights Sentinel* is published by Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership 12500 N.E. Tenth Place Bellevue, WA 98005 800-869-1884 Copyright 2017 JPFO

Address Change: Include new address, city, state and zip code. Send to The Bill of Rights Sentinel at 12500 N.E. Tenth Place, Bellevue, WA 98005 or email to info@jpfo.org. Please allow up to four weeks for changes.

THE HISTORY OF FREEDOM IS THE HISTORY OF ARMED RESISTANCE TO VILLAINS WHO ARE STOPPED NO OTHER WAY. USE OF FORCE ASAINST MONSTROUS THREATS TO YOUR RIGHT TO LIVE IS WHY YOU SHOULD BE ARMED.

## The Rabbi's Round



#### by Rabbi Dovid Bendory, Rabbinic Director, JPFO

A congressman shot. A vehicle plows into a crowd of civilians. Women and minorities lead the charge on obtaining carry permits.

It all leaves me agape as our country apparently turns upside down.

It was a while ago, the Second Amendment was a nonpartisan issue. Democrats and Republicans agreed that the Amendments constituting the Bill of Rights, including the Second Amendment, were sacrosanct values that defined what made America different. We were defined by our Constitution and that included rights to freedom of speech, freedom of religion and to keep and bear arms.

How our political spectrum has changed.

On June 14, a Bernie Sanders supporter—an anti-Trump fanatic—took it upon himself to disrupt a republican congressional baseball practice by opening fire. Fortunately, there were armed security and police present, and they responded quickly to neutralize the attacker. The congressmen at practice were defenseless. Washington D.C. law forbids them from carrying firearms, even those who have carry permits from their home states.

This is not the narrative the "news" media has been selling us. In their narrative, it's the "far right" who are dangerously armed, not the "far left." It's the conservative right, we are told constantly, who are prone to violence, not the socialist left. The supposedly enlightened left are leading us into a

post-violence world, a world of tolerance in which the need to defend ourselves will be obviated by our political correctness. And yet the would-be murderer clearly aligned himself with the left.

A month later, the ACLU—a darling of the left—is busy defending the rights of neo-Nazis and white supremacists to march in Charlottesville, Va., in protest of the removal of several historic Confederate statues. A group of alt-left and "antifa" (supposedly anti-fascist) supporters arrives with sticks and shields, begging for a fight against what's labelled as the alt-right demonstration. It's no longer left and right, the narrative is now "alt-" this and that... belligerent radicalized factions.

During the ensuing police-tolerated violence, a suspect drives into a crowd on the street and backs out at high speed; one woman dies and others are injured. The response of the ACLU? A pledge to cease support for the Second Amendment!

In the future, the ACLU sanctimoniously announces, it will continue to support First Amendment rights of free speech—even hate speech of which the ACLU disapproves—but only if the speakers are disarmed.

I could perhaps understand this ACLU response if the person killed had been shot. But how will further civilian disarmament prevent either the next car-ramming attack or the next brawl between protesters and counterprotesters? It won't. The recent jihadi vehicle murders in gun-controlled Barcelona is stark proof of that.

And finally, carry permits. The Crime Prevention Research Center reports\* that concealed-carry permits for women nearly doubled from 2012–2016 compared to an increase of just 22% for men in the same timeframe. The number of blacks with permits increased 30% faster than the number for whites. Remember, according to leftists' identity politics, women and blacks are supposed to vote democrat—which in our political climate should mean supporting so-called "gun control."

Where does this leave us? Let me offer some perspective from Jewish history.

When the Second Temple was destroyed nearly 2,000 years ago, Jews looked inward and asked themselves what they had done to deserve the destruction wrought upon them. The answer? Baseless hatred. While the Roman legions performed the act of destruction itself, it was baseless hatred among the Jews that precipitated the destruction. The Jews of the period had lost their unified purpose. Their infighting replaced their unified vision and commitment to G-d.

And us? Do we have a unified vision of America? Do we agree on the principles that should guide our country into its future? I'm not asking about implementation details toward fulfilling that vision—I'm asking about the vision itself. Do we agree about the founding principles on which our country was established? The very principles on which it should run?

I am concerned for our country and where we are going. More than that I am concerned about our divisions; I am concerned about our divisiveness. And I am concerned for America's Jews. Time and again throughout history, when social cohesiveness disintegrates, it is the Jews who suffer first.

We are the proverbial canaries in the coal mine. Historically, prejudicial laws prevented us from defending ourselves. Here in America, that is no longer the case. We enjoy the same rights and privileges as every other American, including the right to keep and bear arms. Will we Jews uphold and defend that right? Will we exercise it?

All Americans are now coal-mine canaries. The olden ACLU civil-rights defenders have abandoned you. Neither big political party really stands for your right to arms—that's why the national carry bill HR 38 stands dead in the water, despite a republican triumvirate holding the Senate, the House of Representatives and the White House. Wake up America—free speech isn't enough to protect our freedom.

\*https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cf m?abstract\_id=3004915

September 2017

## The Moyel's Tips

### "Diversity" is a LIE

In some quarters, diversity is now touted with evangelical zeal as the highest and loftiest goal of society. The people pushing it, all leftists, are unified, and unwavering, in their opposition to the right to freely keep and bear arms. The degree of diversity evident there amounts to calls for restrictions, subarmament and disarmament. "The next time some academics tell you how important diversity is, ask how many conservatives there are in their sociology department." – Dr. Thomas Sowell

### **Destroying American History**

Democrats lead the anti-gun-rights and disarm-the public movements. Their actions trace back to the Civil War and anti-black Jim Crow laws. Now the left seeks to remove monuments and traces of their sordid history. These data points are connected. "The Confederacy belongs to the Democrats," as a JPFO Facebook visitor pointed out. JPFO brooks no anti-Semitism or totalitarianism of any kind. Destroying the emblems of history is the true fascist statement, and it has no end.

### **Defeat Mandatory Training**

I've had it with all these statist gun owners and firearms instructors who think training should be required. Exercising your rights doesn't require training. Failure to get training doesn't vacate your rights or subject you to penalties. Got it? No training is required to be a parent to helpless infants, none needed to buy poisons and sharp things in Home Depot, no certificate of completion needed to enter bizarre religious ritualistic buildings. Understood? A dangerous world does not justify government training your life. Smart people get trained for everything because they're smart. Get smart. Do it on your own. With or without whatever training, you screw up, you're responsible. OK? Training doesn't get you off the hook, at all, but it may help you stay off the hook. Making the government force you to be trained the way government thinks you should be is horrific.

#### **Disabling the Disabled**

A group of wheelchair-bound people recently got training in how to defend themselves from an attacker. These people already have one physical disability. If they train and get good in their self-defense and firearms skills in an effort to minimize that disability, they get unjustly saddled with a new one in public places. So many establishments prohibit firearms these alreadydisabled people are forced into a second disability (being prohibited from their civil right to arms). That's discrimination against a disabled class of people, something even liberals should not support.



#### **Jews to Dominate nazis**

With all the nazi news the mainstream has been throwing at us, this would be a great time for an inordinate number of Jewish-themed tables to show up at gun shows—sell your old gear for cash, meet and greet members of the tribe, pass for plain Americans (!), or set up a JPFO Table with our help—tablecloth, banner, free literature and swag—it's easy, we walk you through it. Got a gun show coming up in your neck of the woods? Let us know and volunteer, get free admission!

### How the Muslim Jihad Threatens RKBA

The jihad is a direct threat to your right to keep and bear arms:

- Encourages crackdowns in the name of safety
- Enhanced background checks with errors
- No-fly list bogus nonsense and bad reporting
- Gun emphasis instead of extremism focus

- No-gun zones to stop perps stop citizens too
- Breathless stylizations encourage factlessness
- Inflaming the useful-idiot public against you
- Religious extremism backfire potential
- Empowering reporter nonsense and access
- Using up airtime where real news should be

#### **Bullets First**

Removing a person's firearms—regardless of the justification, family complaints, police concerns, media blather, anti-rights bigotry noise—without full due process for the person scrutinized, is *tyranny*. It is, from a Founders' perspective, the reason you bear deadly weapons—for use against tyranny. Americans have largely lost the will to use the front end of a firearm for its intended purpose—resistance to tyranny.

## "Gun Control" OBVIOUSLY Doesn't Work

If gun control worked it wouldn't be controversial. If all it did was disarm criminals and make the world a better place, everyone would support it. But that's not what happens, is it? Innocent people lose their guns, either all at once or bit by bit, and criminals are basically unaffected. Gun control doesn't work as advertised—that's why it's controversial. The guns end up in the hands of the controllers, and the public, vulnerable to a fault, end up on the wrong end of a mass grave.

## **Small Tips**

- Making legal guns illegal is infringement.
- Banning a person who is bearing arms from public accommodations is discrimination.
- Taking or attempting to take legal firearms or related accessories from innocent people based on federal infringement is illegal, or should be.

Moyel, n. the person who performs a circumcision.

# DOES OPEN CARRY TRUMP DISCREET?

#### by Dr. Julius Decker

The benefits of traditional open carry are well understood. There's the degree-of-deterrence factor, quick draw and carry comfort. It's true to our historic roots, evokes the classic and valuable Hollywood manliness so demeaned by the left wing these days, and just looks and feels good.

In contrast, discreet or "concealed" carry has the indispensable advantage of surprise; it can turn the tables quickly on unsuspecting attackers, and creates a subliminal deterrent and occupational hazard for miscreants who prey upon seemingly easy victims. Crucially, discreet carry also deftly avoids unwanted attention from hoplophobes, snooty high-society people, social-justice warriors, high-strung leftists, overprotective mothers, rambunctious children and assorted drama seekers.

Often undervalued but a critically significant benefit to law-abiding gun owners—discreet carry avoids tragic and disastrous entanglements with law-enforcement officers. It's half the reason reciprocity works—the issue never arises.

No one really knows how many lawenforcement officers respect the Second Amendment and constitutional right of people to keep and bear arms. And there's no way to tell for sure though obviously there must be some, maybe many. It's equally likely some number of officers do not care for it, especially in blue states and major metropolitan areas, who might even prefer if you idiot individuals had your guns summarily taken. We know that's true (a cadre of high-profile cop groups swore in against gun rights in the Heller case).

A surprise to most folks, people who know realize an officer's foremost concerns are not to protect and serve, stop crime, or uphold your precious rights. Bluntly, their priorities are to survive their shifts, return home alive to their families, collect their salaries, benefits

and live to retirement age to enjoy bloated pensions coerced by their unions from city councils. In a choice between your rights and an officer's real needs, the latter win early and often, often enough. Is this really surprising?

To a vast swath of law-enforcement officers in urban areas, armed citizens are a threat that must be disarmed. They realize disarming the law-abiding does not make people any safer because they continue to be preyed upon by criminals. Nevertheless, too many officers are happy with fewer firearms to account for in the streets.

Many officers today are veterans of Iraq and Afghanistan, who were trained in military tactics to occupy and pacify hostile enemy populations or were instructed by such veterans. As described by Radley Balko in his stunning 2013 book, Rise of the Warrior Cop: The Militarization of America's Police Forces, officers in urban America routinely apply the military protocols of Iraq and Afghanistan. Those officers want to enjoy unfettered powers to assume anyone in the streets without a uniform, who appears to have a gun, is a "bad person" and have at least a conditional green light to shoot. Mayberry may still exist somewhere, but it's not mainstream.

Officers, their superiors, their unions, city risk managers and elected officials fear armed citizens. They're supposed to—it's why the Founders wrote the Second Amendment—for public safety. "When government fears the people, there is liberty. When the people fear the government, there is tyranny." –Thomas Jefferson

A safety net the Founders didn't anticipate, shooting innocent armed or unarmed citizens results in internal-affairs investigations, prosecutions, multimillion-dollar jury verdicts, out-of-court settlements, recalls and bad publicity. Officials have vested interests in discouraging armed citizens, who can get uppity, or make targets of themselves.

Harassing open-carry citizens and pretextually demanding to see their sidearms, then running serial numbers through databases satisfies this perverse need. Ostensibly to verify they aren't stolen, it's incremental registration and they know it. They don't run your other property through databases.

Citizens can face arrest if an opencarry sidearm can't be seen from every conceivable angle—even on the right from the left!—on the pretext it's unlawfully concealed with no license. Pure harassment: Officials v. You.

If you openly carry a sidearm in any urban area these days you face a special risk. One hypersensitive anti-gun civilian dials 911 to report a person with a gun and your tragedy unfolds. Imagine what the person might concoct to the dispatcher... I thought I heard a foreign language... he said Trump or something... he looks angry... it's one of those big guns. Adrenaline-junkie police officers rush to the scene, lights and noise on! This is what happened to now-deceased:

Philando Castille
(http://tinyurl.com/yb63fdtz),
Dillon Taylor
(http://tinyurl.com/yb46cyzb), and
John Crawford
(http://tinyurl.com/y7wfmb7y)...
You want some?

Brave Second Amendment patriots who carry openly and face the additional risks to their lives and safety deserve our gratitude for not meekly surrendering this right to the government leviathan and our enemies within. Discreet carriers avoid unwanted attention, circulate amongst us and bolster the armed presence that provides law, order and the peaceful society America cherishes. Repeatedly, the armed populace augments, supplements, and frequently supplants the "officials" who are here from the government to protect us. Open and discreet. It's a good plan.

September 2017 5

# "NO GUNS ALLOWED" IS A DISABILITY

Americans With Disabilities Act Used to Disable the Right to Keep and Bear Arms

A diss is just a diss

#### by Noah Tallman

Let's review the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Civil Rights Act, shall we? Most Americans appreciate the idealistic and altruistic intentions driving these acts: to promote equal treatment for all and free people from all forms of discrimination.

Living your life as you see fit is, as the ADA frames it, a major life activity, though that's not their exact take. The act's supporters paint themselves into a corner because the same turn of phrase they use describing how the act frees people from any "physical impairment that substantially limits one or more life activities" oh-so-smoothly describes disarming lawabiding citizens.

A no-guns-allowed sign imposes a disability upon "all persons (who) shall be entitled to the full and equal

enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations of any place of public accommodation." Infringing upon—disabling—a person's right to possess private property imposes a disability

upon the person, and a potentially deadly one at that. It creates Americans with disabilities, or makes Americans with one disability Americans with multiple disabilities.

Dear customers: If you weren't

disabled before reading our selfrighteous no-guns-allowed sign, you will be. If already disabled, have another on the house. Our motto: "You patronize us. We patronize you."

A no-guns-allowed policy is pure self-evident discrimination. "You can't eat at this lunch counter." It severely restricts self-preservation, recklessly putting lives at risk. These are the

"public accommodations" and "safe spaces" brought to you by people who suffer an extreme and irrational fear of the mere idea of firearms being around.

They do. That's got a name—hoplophobia. People suffering from that malady need treatment.

Now, that's a disability.
Their pre-existing
condition? Being reflexively
anti rights. They're already
disabled, and now seek to
disable others to compensate
for their own—misery loves
company. This immoral
discrimination represents the
opposite of what these federal laws

are designed to protect. That has got to stop. History has repeatedly demonstrated this abhorrent behavior subjects people to harm, right up to the death penalty for innocents.

I think, therefore I'm armed. I'm ready, willing, and able bodied. Don't disable me. It's time to truly enforce the ADA, making it the enabling act it should and must be.

## You're With Me — an Oppressed Minority, continued from Page 1

There are also social consequences of firearms ownership oppression, particularly in liberal areas. Some years ago, I was at a cocktail party in our suburban Boston neighborhood. Some lady asked me what I did for a living. I told her I was a copywriter at a large Boston ad agency. She asked who my clients were so I mentioned several, including Winchester. "Oh," she said, "I hope you don't own one of those terrible things!"

I replied I owned quite a few. She went off on an ignorant tirade about how guns are only for killing, and besides, were primarily a compensation for a small penis. I told her I was truly impressed with her vast knowledge of penises. For whatever inexplicable reason, she stormed off.

Prior to the year 2000 election, I wrote a somewhat prophetic article entitled, "If you don't vote like a gun

owner... you suck!" We gun owners, all 100 million of us, have the voting potential to dramatically change the nationwide political climate in our favor and end this oppression. Both locally and nationally, we can elect people who respect and advocate for our Second Amendment rights. We have the votes. Do we have the will? Do you?

# Don't Argue With Rocks You'll Only Get Whine

#### by Richard Busch, DCH, JPFO Life Member

You'll recall I tried to talk sense to some neighborhood shuls about gun safety. When it is obvious that no further discussion is welcome, with people who already know everything they need to know and their minds are already made up, frozen, it is a waste of time to pursue conversation.

For them, regarding guns, it is not even a matter of run, hide, fight. They see themselves as optionless victims, frozen in place. To these people, especially Jews, it's the OAG Principle, that's "Oiy, A Gun!" Their political views have become like a secondary religion, G-d forbid. Their minds and ears are closed.

As a clinical hypnotherapist for so many years, I'm going to share with you (and your readers) something I have learned and re-learned a thousand times over. Here is the wisdom: Without an openness and expressed desire to change or even consider any new learning, most people's resistance flares up and has at least 18 reasons (or 36,

remember, these are Jews!) why their frozen beliefs aren't going to go anywhere. Why?

Because there is great comfort in apparent certainty, even if that certainty is actually an illusion. It is like us using mere words, facts, and statistics against mental and emotional concrete. With-

"There is great comfort in apparent certainty, even if that certainty is actually an illustion."

out at least some expressed openness or even curiosity, it's all a waste of time. Believe me, I know of many other ways to waste my time. Discussing the Second Amendment with a Nancy Pelosi or Elizabeth Warren comes to mind. Another is eating chocolate-covered matzah as a health food and expecting weight loss. All are a waste of time, but at least the matzah would leave a good taste in my mouth.

One of my all-time favorite bits of wisdom comes from a tale related to me by a Rabbi in his living room from the Babylonian Talmud. Here it is, restated in my words, tailored to this discussion:

If there is at least some chance that people will listen to reason and our constitutional position, G-d wants us to absolutely go for it and get involved. We must. G-d says so. On the other hand, if there is no chance, then G-d wants us not to waste our time, and walk away! We must. G-d says so. And if not from G-d, it is written by very wise men inspired by G-d.

I stay open to groups to see if I can find some genuine interest. The shuls I approached and told you about are frozen. Until then, maybe just a small piece of chocolate-covered matzah might be in order. Just a small piece!

Carry on, Carry safe.

# 

## **Confiscation Clock Ticks Closer to Midnight**

## CORRECTION

Sentinel #9 announced a one-minute advance in the JPFO Gun Confiscation Alarm Clock. It's now 14 minutes to midnight: Congress is frozen, Bloomberg is on afterburners, media have lost their collective mind, Trump sleep has hypnotized activists. If it weren't for positive developments (e.g., all 50 states have carry, 15 constitutionally, 16.5 million with FBI

clearances (a mixed blessing), newbies flocking in, more) a one-minute change would be too little, and perhaps is. The listing in edition #9 was correct, but the clock hands were wrong. It's always something. This is accurate.

When you see ANY legislator, insist on moving HR<sub>3</sub>8, national carry. We have all three houses. No excuses. Move the clock hands.

## Do You Have Your JPFO Swag Yet?

We gots da good stuff. Get a bissel mazel. Wear your proud on your sleeve.

For a good time go to JPFO.ORG. Like, now. Wow.

T-Shirts • Books • Posters • Pins • Jugs • Stickers

\* Support for the most aggressive civil-rights group in America. \*

SHOP • DONATE • JOIN • JPFO.ORG • 800-869-1884

September 2017

## From The "Wayback Machine"

## JPFO Founder Aaron Zelman had written to NRA board member Ted Nugent:

"Ted, I'll join the NRA... When the NRA announces, from the rooftops, that the police have NO LEGAL DUTY to protect the average American citizen. Time after time, our courts have upheld this. If every American understood this, we would see gun ownership, and Second Amendment awareness, burgeon across our nation. The tide would resolutely turn in our favor.

"One of JPFO's finest projects was the book *Dial 911 and Die*. It is meticulously documented with actual court citations. Why didn't the NRA, years ago, publish this material in a reader friendly format for nationwide distribution? Why?" Why indeed? Sure, the NRA has a large contingent of police-officer members. They all know "protect and serve" is an advertisement, not a legal policy. In fact, police are specifically prohibited from any legal responsibility whatsoever if they fail to protect or serve you, even in the most outrageous circumstances. The schools, the so-called "news" media, the government itself hides this from you. Shouldn't our allies at least make it widely known? Are they your allies?

If police don't show up, or show up late because it was lunch time (it happens!) you can't sue. They've done nothing wrong, as far as the courts are concerned. You want the court cases?

Get that book. The most recent at the U.S. Supreme Court was *Castle Rock v. Gonzalez*. You are responsible for you. Politicians who seek to disarm you, who tell you to rely on police, they are the worst criminally negligent offenders you face in your daily life. They would let you suffer and die, rather than empower you for your own life and safety.

Aaron's memory and JPFO's daily activity work to restore the sanity, and self-reliance this nation was built on, which bogus corrupt officials would water down or eliminate entirely. Don't be a bonehead. Get smart. Get armed. Join with us, and help educate the great unwashed.

## JPFO RESPONDS TO RISE IN ANTI-SEMITISM

Official Statement:

"If anything should motivate you to learn about your precious American right to arms and to use your freedom to arm yourself, the current dramatic rise in anti-Semitism is it. If you don't understand that, shame on you, and you deserve what you get. History is repeating itself. Wake up children. Get armed, get trained, don't become a victim. Yes, our position is more people properly armed.

What is the anti-Semite's position?"

"Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership (jpfo.org) is America's most aggressive civil-rights organization, dedicated to destroying the notion of 'gun control' as any kind of credible public-policy position. Socalled 'gun control' does not control guns and doesn't control criminal behavior. What it does do is disarm the innocent, leaving them helpless in the

face of criminals, tyrannical governments and genocide. History repeatedly proves this fact. Founded in 1989 by Aaron Zelman as a response to the Holocaust, JPFO speaks with the moral authority and tenacious commitment of survivors of persecution, and knows that surrendering your personal and family safety to government protection courts disaster. You don't have to be Jewish to fight by our side, you just have to love liberty."

JEWS FOR THE PRESERVATION OF FIREARMS OWNERSHIP "Fierce Defender of American Liberty"  12500 N.E. Tenth Place • Bellevue, WA 98005 • www.JPF0.org • (800) 869-1884 • info@jpfo.org  Your donations to JPF0, a 501(c)3 non-profit organization, are 100% tax deductible.			
rour donations to or ro, a sort(c)s non-profit organization, are roo /o	tax deductible.	□ Join □ Renew	Donate
Nama		☐ \$25 Annual	□ \$25
Name		☐ \$40 Two Year	□ \$50
		☐ \$55 Three Year	□ \$100
Address		□ \$500 Life	□ \$250
		☐ \$360 Senior Life	□ \$500
City State Zip Co	ode	(over 65 years old)	□ \$
Dhara Marahan Frasil		☐ I want to make automatic of \$ per ☐ Me	
one Number Email		☐ I want to divide Membership Dues or Donations totaling more than \$250	
Name on Credit Card Card Number	Exp. Date	into 12 equal payments.	

Bill of Rights Sentinel