

Sheriff Urges His Citizens to Arm Themselves **Wayne Ivey of Brevard County, Fla., Takes a Real Stand**

by **Chris Bird**

In early December 2015, a husband and wife team of Muslim terrorists hit a county social services building in San Bernardino, Calif., killing 14 people and wounding 21. When police arrived and entered the building, the terrorists had escaped. The county building was, of course, a so-called “gun-free zone.”

In the aftermath, there were the usual whines for more gun control from the usual suspects but there were some less-expected responses also. Many sheriffs, mainly in upstate New York and Florida, urged their residents to carry guns.

One of these was Sheriff Wayne Ivey of Brevard County on Florida’s east coast, southeast of Orlando. His address to several hundred people who attended the Gun Rights Policy Conference in Tampa in September was one of the highlights of the three-day gathering.

“One of the great things about being sheriff is that you are expected to say and do what needs to be said and done to protect your citizens.” Ivey has been in law enforcement for 37 years. “I have never seen a time like we face in our country today.”

He said he is a Constitutional Sheriff which means keeping his oath of

office. He added that the Second Amendment is under attack.

He said that in San Bernardino, law enforcement was on the scene in four minutes after the first 911 call. “When we look at what happened in an active-shooter scenario, you are the first line of defense.” Despite what he labeled “an incredible response time,” it was too late. The shooting was over when police finally entered the building.

**“The best way I can protect you is to prepare you to protect yourself.”
— Sheriff Wayne Ivey**

Speaking to the audience of mostly civilians, Ivey said: “While the cavalry is coming, we’re running Mach 2 with our hair on fire, trying to get there to help. But you’re there, you are already on scene and you have to either eliminate or neutralize the threat until we can get there.” JPFO has repeatedly pointed out – despite bogus public perception on the point – *you* are the first responder.

He said that law-enforcement officers have to realize they are going to arrive too late. So ordinary citizens have to worry about being the victim of a mass murderer. The number one responsibility of government is to protect its citizens. And the limitations are self evident: “The best way I can protect you is to prepare you to protect yourself.”

As a result, Ivey said his office has instituted a program called Self Defense Through Tactical Shooting & Decision Making. According to the Brevard County Sheriff’s website, it is an eight-hour course including mental preparedness, the legal aspects of the Florida stand-your-ground and castle-doctrine laws, safety and weapon handling, and shoot/don’t-shoot scenarios. The course costs \$50. Ivey said they started putting the course on once a month about 18 months ago. Now they put it on every Saturday and the course is booked up until September 2017.

A couple of days after the shooting in San Bernardino, Ivey put a video on social media called *Enough Is Enough*. His message was simple: “If you are a citizen who has a concealed carry (permit), carry your gun with you to

Continued on Page 5

Sheriff Wayne Ivey’s “Four A’s” for Survival

1. **Arm yourself.** If you have a concealed carry permit take your gun with you everywhere you legally can. If you don’t have a gun, find something to use as a weapon – fire extinguisher, chair, a pair of scissors.
2. **Awareness.** Know your surroundings and your escape routes from wherever you are.
3. **Avoidance.** Run to get away from the threat.
4. **Attack.** The best time is when the criminal is reloading.
“Did I ever think that we would have to coach our citizens in that? Maybe I thought it, but I prayed we’d never

have to, but we do now. We have to.” Ivey also urged the audience members to show support for their law enforcement officers. Ninety-nine percent put their lives on the line daily to protect their citizens. “I ask you to stand with your law-enforcement officers. We’re going through a tough time right now with a small vocal group of ankle biters that think it’s okay to attack us.”

As JPFO members know all too well, the public’s relationship with the police is and has always been a dynamic one. It is part of why we are armed.

Logic Teaser for Jews – and Everyone

1. Q: If government can *legally* infringe your right to *buy* a particular type of gun by banning it, even after you and millions of Americans own them, what about the ones *you already have*?

A: You either have to turn them in, or you get to keep them – making the ban virtually meaningless.

2. Q: If the guns are declared illegal and must be turned in, and you decide to keep yours, doesn't that mean you possess contraband?

A: Yes, of course.

3. Q: Can the government actually do that legally?

A: Not in our opinion. But would that stop them? It hasn't seemed to. So what should people do? A lot of them obediently turn their guns in, instead of defiantly keeping them, or defiantly turning them on their oppressors confiscating them.

4. Q: Isn't possession of contraband a serious crime?

A: Yes, of course it is. That's why they're called oppressors.

5. Q: If the Second Amendment can

be ignored to ban any of your firearms, what stops the Fifth Amendment from being ignored to summarily confiscate your property (the firearms), or even to ignore the Fourth Amendment and conduct searches for anyone who still has the banned guns?

A: Nothing.

6. Q: If agents find any other violations while looking for contraband guns, shouldn't they enforce the law and charge you for that?

A: Yes, it's a crime to do anything in violation of anything.

7. Q: Wouldn't society be safer if government did all this for us?

A: Yes, he said cynically.

8. Q: Wouldn't government then also find all the jihadis and sleeper cells?

A: Some of them anyway.

9. Q: So why would the powerful gun lobby object to such a wonderful plan?

A: Who *are* these people?



This world actually contains people who believe “No Guns” signs will keep you safe. These people go by many names, among them, “liberals,” confirming the book title, *Liberalism is a Mental Disorder* (by Dr. Michael Savage). People who believe in such signs need treatment, not the power to hang the signs.

Illustration by Kjartan Arnorsson

Join JPFO Now!
www.jpfo.org 800-869-1884



**Bill of Rights
Sentinel**

Publisher: Alan Gottlieb
Editor: Alan Korwin
Administration: Ashlee Golden Sullivan
Contributors:

Rabbi Dovid Bendory, Charles Heller,
Alan Korwin, Kjartan Arnorsson,
Richard Stevens, Chris Bird,
Tristan Manos, Rabbi Judah Freeman,
Frank Lee, JPFO Staff

The *Bill of Rights Sentinel* is published by
Jews for the Preservation
of Firearms Ownership
12500 N.E. Tenth Place
Bellevue, WA 98005
800-869-1884
Copyright 2016 JPFO

Address Change: Include new address, city, state and zip code. Send to *The Bill of Rights Sentinel* at 12500 N.E. Tenth Place, Bellevue, WA 98005 or e-mail to info@jpfo.org. Please allow up to four weeks for changes.

The Rabbi's Round

Election 2016: Are We Watching America's Decline?



by Rabbi Dovid Bendory,
Rabbinic Director, JPFO

The Jewish people have a long historical memory. After surviving millennia of persecution, we have watched many world empires emerge, thrive and flourish, and ultimately self-destruct or fall to a new world power.

Mark Twain famously noted, "The Egyptians, the Babylonians and the Persians rose, filled the planet with sound and splendor, then faded to dream-stuff and passed away; the Greeks and Romans followed and made a vast noise, and they were gone; other people have sprung up and held their torch high for a time but it burned out, and they sit in twilight now, and have vanished. The Jew saw them all, survived them all, and is now what he always was...."

(*Harper's Magazine*, Sept. 1899)

This past year, we have witnessed the most destructive Presidential election of my lifetime, and I can't help but wonder if we are watching the decline of America.

You will read this after the election; I am writing it just days before. As today's surveys close, Hillary appears to have a slight edge in the popular vote which leaves me wondering what people are voting for. Hillary did not wear an American flag pin in any of her de-

bate appearances. Given how carefully planned her every appearance and every word has been throughout her campaign, I have a hard time believing that is a mere oversight and not a deliberate decision. How can it be that a candidate for President declines to display patriotism with an American flag?

And it gets worse. In reference to the *Heller* decision, the strongest Supreme Court case to affirm Second Amendment protection of an individual right to keep and bear arms, Clinton has stated: "The Supreme Court is wrong on the Second Amendment. And I am going to make that case every chance I get." (Private fundraising event, New York, NY, September 2015)

**"How can it be a
presidential candidate
declares nearly a third
of the U.S. population
her enemies?
Are we?
Is she ours?"**

At JPFO, we have long understood and asserted that the Second Amendment protects a pre-existing, G-d-given right of self-defense. With exactly which aspect of our rights does Hillary take issue? How can it be that a presidential candidate opposes the very constitutional rights a president will swear an oath to preserve, protect and defend?

But even beyond this, at a New Hampshire rally, Clinton called for NRA members, "to form a different organization and take back the Second Amendment from the extremists."

<http://tinyurl.com/ze797s8>.

In response to a presidential debate question on Oct. 13, 2015, Hillary put five million NRA members at the top of her list of enemies of which she is

most proud. I note that "NRA" here is just a proxy for "gun owners." Apparently, Hillary considers you and me *her enemies* because we take personal responsibility in preparing to defend ourselves and our families. Could it have anything at all to do with the classic balance of power we the armed people provide?

Five million is a comparatively low number – just folks who parted with NRA membership dues. We have more like 100 million gun owners in this nation. How can it be a presidential candidate declares nearly a third of the U.S. population her enemies? Are we? Is she ours?

Regardless of the election outcome, I have no doubt that America is at a crossroads; nearly half of voting Americans* seem to be supporting Hillary going into the election. Which way we will turn, I do not know. But I dread the thought that, were Mark Twain to rewrite his essay *On the Jews* in 10 or 20 years, he would add these great United States of America to his list of failed countries. And were I an historian analyzing that failure, my analysis would start by investigating how America lost its way on the Second Amendment, the guarantor of the rest of the Bill of Rights.

**A caution about election stats: When Obama beat Romney (2012, round numbers) 61 to 58 million, that meant only 19.4% of the population voted for the winner (26% of adults), a 2.5% difference (1.25% spread), what the "news" called a mandate and landslide.*

Join JPFO Now!
www.jpfo.org 800-869-1884



**America's Most Aggressive
Civil Rights Organization**

The Moyel's Tips

Who Should You Trust to Help Keep Your Guns

NOBODY! Trust no one. Only yourself. No politician, no club, no association, no gung-ho gun-rights group will help you preserve the right to keep and bear arms more than the arms you keep and bear. This is the big message of gun ownership. This is Jeff Snyder's message in *Nation of Cowards*, which you should read again. This is the goal and intent of the Second Amendment.

You are guaranteed the pre-existing right to keep and bear arms to defend yourself against actual threats – of human or other origin. The front end of your gun is what ultimately protects your right to keep and bear arms. Do not forget this critical truth. Now, go to the range and practice. Bring a friend.

Gun Safety Never Came From Leftist Gun-Safety Groups

It's not a bumper sticker, it's G-d's honest truth: Gun safety is handling a gun safely. Gun safety is safely hitting your target. Every time. Gun safety is knowing how to operate your gun safely, how to keep it properly maintained so it is always safe to use. Left wing, face it, democrat gun safety groups never help anyone learn any of that. They have nothing to do with real gun safety. So-called "common-sense" gun-safety groups teach avoidance, fear and bans. They focus on fear and gun-ignorance instead of education, training and experience.

Traditional Gun Groups Have it Wrong

The second biggest gun group (CCRKBA) has it wrong, and like the rest, is afraid – yes, afraid – to call a spade a spade and speak truth to power. They write: "Following what the *Chicago Tribune* is calling the deadliest weekend so far this year, the Citizens Committee for the Right to Keep and Bear Arms today said the continued slaughter is proof that the city's strict gun-control laws are an abject failure."

When they start calling this murder problem what it is instead of what it's not maybe you'll start getting somewhere. It has nothing to do with the "failure of gun control."

It is a racial problem. Everyone knows that. Can CCRKBA and the other alphabet soupers say it? The statistics are overwhelming and clear. It's not about "criminals" either. It's about African Americans in ghettos. Documentation (as if you need it): <http://www.gunlaws.com/GunshotDemographics.htm>

Why are blacks murdering each other like crazy? Why are there six thousand black murders every year – and no black murder trials? Is it because black lives matter? Everything about gun control – and the black murders, lack of black trials, calling uncontrolled *crime* a "gun-control failure" – all of it, is lies, lies, lies, and the public, on both sides of the aisle falls for it. The ownership of guns in America has nothing to do with those criminal murder-death-kills, thank you "Demolition Man."

The Moyel Answers You

A writer asks: "Do the police have the right to require my ID? Am I allowed to refuse? This isn't Germany yet, is it?"

The Moyel mumbles: We're not lawyers and even we know this changes depending on your state, and why you're talking to what kind of "police." G-d bless and keep the police...at a proper distance.

But watch your language you. Police don't have the "right" to do anything in an official capacity. You have rights. Police – in this country – have specific, limited, delegated powers. You need to ask locally if and when your police have the power (or authority) to require ID.

As to this, "am I allowed" mishigass, be cautious with this dangerous word *allowed*. This implies someone controls you and you are only permitted certain actions. The question is *are you free* to refuse. This sharpens the focus, shining light on just how much freedom has been stripped from you, that you might want to retain or regain.

Why Are Blacks Like Jews?

Blacks should, by all rhyme or reason, better understand the value of firearms to their existence and freedom. Right up there with the mysteries of life, Jews should stand right there

with blacks in defense of the right to keep and bear arms, for the exact same reasons. And while Jews were terribly persecuted in the recent past, blacks are persecuted, or certainly many perceive themselves to be, right now. Yet as a group, and among their leadership, they detest the preservation of the right to keep and bear arms. They cling to candidates who preach a religion of dependency.

Astonishingly, when the point gets made that denying the right to arms is solidly embedded in racism, slavery and control of disfavored ethnic groups, those very groups quickly brand the statements as racist, and stifle debate. Yet that branding actually is the racism.

It can be shut down in a flash simply by asking the accuser what they think racism is. The ensuing silence, and confusion, is worth its weight in gold.

Fugget YOU, Mass Murderer

The so-called "news" media loves to keep you hanging. Wait – while they "search for a motive," every time some fanatic muslim jihadi or mad-at-the-world life-hating sicko wants to "take some of them with him as he goes out in a blaze of glory" – for their predictable narrative. They are manipulating you. Motive? It's a set up for the "guns are bad" punch line, using the blaze and the glory the media itself spoons out. Don't fall for it.

Understand these sick bastages include the perp and the media savages – switch them off, doom them to eternal anonymity, use the time to go buy ammo, or take a friend to the range. Or both. Call it *discretionary journalism*. If you really need the journalism-junkie angle, send the writers JPFO's D.I.E. Initiative, posted at JPFO.org. Humiliate them into discretion. No more PR for perps. Constantly naming the perps and publicizing their motives is as evil as they are.

What's Wrong With This "Pro-Gun Group's" Announcement

"This terrible new law will force you to give up your guns if they match this stringent new definition!"

Continued on Page 7

The Noah Webster Problem

by Rabbi Judah Freeman

Revolutionary hero Mr. Webster, along with the other revolutionary Founders, expected us to pick up our arms and make our voices heard the moment our laws start becoming offensive and infringements appeared. If people in government overstepped authority, We the People would stand there, armed, and force back intrusions on liberty:

Before a standing army can rule, the people must be disarmed; as they are in almost every kingdom in Europe. The supreme power in America cannot enforce unjust laws by the sword; because the whole body of the people are armed, and constitute a force superior to any band of regular troops that can be, on any pretense, raised in the United States.

A military force, at the command of Congress, can execute no laws, but such as the people perceive to be just and constitutional; for they will possess the power, and jealously will instantly inspire the inclination, to resist the execution of a law which appears to be unjust and oppressive.

– Noah Webster, 1787

Webster expected us to show up armed if “officials” (now embodied in BATFE, which he could not have imagined) suggested: banning a type of ammo, or M1 rifle imports, or when they entrapped people for technical er-

rors – like what led to our Firearm Owners Protection Act in 1986 – or when some rogue agents in its bowels tried to reclassify nitrocellulose and virtually ban gunpowder, or if they dared to use an arbitrary no-fly list as a gun-ban list, or to register sales in four border states even if a court said it’s OK and the word *infringed* and the FOPA law didn’t apply, or they made local gunsmiths jump through international hoops gunsmiths can’t do, or if “officials” threatened to make the U.N. Arms Trade Treaty apply to American civilians, and...

That’s what an armed citizenry is for: to balance power, to rein back tyrannical power. The politicians, bureaucrats and “officials” are supposed to know and fear what awaits if they attempt any of that chicanery.

But now the politicians and bureaucrats have armed themselves so heavily and lethally, that at the slightest hint that one or more citizens might resist dictates with their own force, “officials” move to crush such opposition. Such

opposition has been “banned by law” and none dare oppose the ban. The very tools for opposing tyranny – our arms – are the focus of the bans! They have vehicle-mounted belt-fed full autos and we mere citizens have to plead for papers to discreetly carry a pistol, or get permission to buy single-shot AR rifles.

We the People are not only losing the will to resist, but the actual ability Founders like

Webster presumed we would always possess – the means necessary for controlling “authorities.” We are out-gunned. And so the balance of power is shifting almost completely to the ruling forces, the government forces, the elite class.

A person who even hints at opposing any of their diktats, no matter how outrageous, outlandish or unconstitutional will face overwhelming, radio-coordinated, helicopter-gunship backed, tactical dynamic-entry-team body-armored MRAP community-relations-vehicle response unless you eat their diktats. Wake up.



“That’s what an armed citizenry is for... to rein back tyrannical power.”

Get off the Banned Wagon

Gun grabbers around the world act like the invention of firearms somehow justifies the suspension of self-preservation. Our Second Amendment in the Bill of Rights wisely safeguards our right – pre-existing before the Constitution itself, a G-d-given, natural-law right – to be free from government interference.

For ban fans out there, what really should be banned is anyone or anything infringing the moral and constitutional right of We The People to keep and bear arms. Moral law and the laws of nature guide gun ownership, essentially commanding it, especially given the world we live in today. Guns save lives and lives saved by guns matter.

– Tristan Manos

Sheriff Urges His Citizens to Arm Themselves, continued from Page 1

every potential venue you can. Be prepared to protect yourself.” They had some problems posting it but got it done. The Sheriff went to bed... until his phone woke him at 5 a.m. – it was an excited staffer.

FOX wanted an interview. Nearly six million people had seen the video overnight, and by the GRPC conference 29 million more viewed it. Why?

“The message resonated with good, law-abiding citizens across the country. And that is, we are in a different time in our world and we, you as a citizen, me as a citizen, have to be prepared to protect ourselves against terrorists and those who come here to harm us, our way of life and our Con-

stitution. So we have to be prepared to be the first line of defense.”

Ivey said his agency should be in a leadership roll in teaching his residents how to protect themselves. JPFO believes any law-enforcement agency that does not provide some basic level of government service for self-defense training for its residents is not doing its job. It’s called, “police protection.”

“That’s what I am encouraging and challenging other sheriffs across the country to do.”

Basic self-defense firearms training from your local law enforcement agency counts as basic government service and part of comprehensive police protection. Guns are good.

NEVER AGAIN

Guest Columnist Peter Caroline

Let me make this clear at the outset: I am a member of a minority group. I was born and raised in the Jewish religion. When I was about seven years old, a family friend gave me a Daisy Golden Eagle BB gun, and my interest in guns began.

I was certainly not encouraged in this by my parents, who thought my trips to the library to read up on firearms were bizarre and a waste of time. As I grew, I acquired more guns, lots of guns, and I tended to associate more and more with people who shared my interest.

It wasn't until the 1960s, when public opinion started polarizing around the subject that I became politically active on behalf of firearms ownership and our Second Amendment rights. It was at that point that I started to notice how many notable anti-gun-rights people were members of my own religion.

This is very perplexing. Why would any sane member of one of the most oppressed groups in history be vehemently opposed to individuals having the means of self-defense? It doesn't make any sense at all. It's certainly not genetic.

Read the Old Testament... for centuries, Israelites were the terror of the Middle East. The Maccabees were accomplished arse-kickers, and the State of Israel was certainly not founded by pantywaists and pacifists. Yet, when you see names of prominent members of the anti-self-defense, anti-gun establishment today, you see a definitely different pattern... Schumer, Feinstein, Boxer, Lautenberg, Sugarmann, Waxman, Lieberman... they're Jewish, and dedicated to eradicating private ownership of firearms. Why?

Does anyone really know? There are always those people who will side with an oppressor because they hope to be on the winning (armed) side. It has become frighteningly evident that Jewish

liberals are more liberal than Jewish – and liberals are more in favor of the powers of the state (a state they believe they can control) than the rights of individuals. Sometimes sheep speak up in favor of the wolf – in the vain hope the

"This Jew will remain well armed, regardless of the efforts of the liberal left. When the gun grabbers come to my door, they will be met with bullets."

wolf will leave them alone. Why Jews are liberal in the first place is its own tome. What difference, at this point, does it make?

When I was much younger, I had the privilege of talking to a distant relative who was a Holocaust survivor. I asked him why six million Jews would offer no resistance and meekly allow

themselves to be led to their death in the camps. I'll never forget his answer, "Because the Nazis had all the guns."

How simple. Chairman Mao stated it succinctly, "Political power grows out of the barrel of a gun." Mao knew whereof he spoke, having disarmed and exterminated all those who did not share his beliefs.

Some time ago, I received a well-crafted solicitation for funds from the Anti-Defamation League of the B'Nai Brith. It told frightening stories about the various hate groups currently operating in the U.S. I wrote back to Abraham Foxman, at that time the ADL's National Director.

I told him I could not understand how the ADL, which supposedly works for the best interests and safety of the Jewish people, can support the democrat party's effort to disarm law-abiding Americans, both Jews and non-Jews alike.

I related my encounter with the holocaust survivor, and said, "Mr. Foxman, I am not fool enough to believe that it can't happen here. This Jew will remain well armed, regardless of the efforts of the liberal left. When the gun grabbers come to my door, they will be met with bullets. And, rather than send one cent to the ADL, I will spend my money and my time in supporting the NRA and the Second Amendment."

I am a member of a minority group... a gun owner who is an NRA Benefactor Member and a politically active voter. In the coming election, I will use my vote and my voice to defeat anti-gun candidates. I do this without hesitation, so that in the future I will not be compelled to use my guns to avoid the fate of six million unarmed victims.

[Editor's note: See our Founder's letter to the NRA, elsewhere in this edition, and remember to join JPFO, a group that will run a letter like this.]

Whose Side Are You On?

“Open Carry” Hysteria at the Republican Convention

by Frank Lee, JPFO Life Member

Principles, not just positions – pro-rights people must understand not just what we advocate but also why.

During the Republican National Convention in July, *USA Today* editorialized: “At a time when passions are running high over Donald Trump’s presumed nomination, recent police shootings of black men and the attacks on law enforcement officers in Dallas and Baton Rouge, it’s hard to imagine anything more combustible than a bunch of people packing heat outside the convention.” <http://tinyurl.com/zz7b8vu>

Media outlets around the nation chimed in, demanding Ohio suspend its open-carry firearm laws. National talk host Sean Hannity, a self-proclaimed Second Amendment supporter, showed dire ignorance and joined the movement, saying “Ohio is an open-carry state” but that the open-carry law should be suspended – suspended – near and during that convention.

We only have the right to arms when all’s quiet on the Western front? With politicians nearby, or demonstrators...no guns? What should the pro-rights position be?

The pro-rights position must stand upon principle and facts. A media challenge to our right to arms and Sean Hannity’s malaise doesn’t justify a hasty suspension of law or erasing due process.

First: Ohio recognizes open carry.

That only changes by law, not *ad hoc* hysteria.

Second: *USA Today*’s histrionics about a “bunch of people packing heat”

demonstrates abject fear at the very sight of firearms – so unhealthy – and a bigoted derogatory slur, “packing heat.”

Third: If the right to arms doesn’t at a bare minimum protect open carry it guards nothing. It is logically impossible to be “pro-Second Amendment” but oppose open carry – as Hannity irrationally proposed.

Fourth: Anti-rights advocates always contend “concealed” weapons present gravest danger (constantly disproven in real life). Now you see them, now you don’t – open carry is equal in their minds, proving the postulate, no gun is good to the anti-rights argument.

**“Disarming an innocent person is an act of violence.”
– Craig Lindsay**

Fifth: It is irrational for a supposedly pro-rights person like Hannity (or any other squishy supporters) to be as concerned about visible firearms as the most prejudiced officials and media.

Sixth: A person visibly carrying poses no more or less threat to public safety than a person carrying discreetly. History proves they can both cause mayhem. The public is advantaged with open carry. Its immediately obvious, there’s no benefit from surprise, it’s easier for

officers, the public (and villains!) to identify vs. discreetly armed people. The fact that anyone can be armed, regardless of laws to the contrary – proves the bottom line: The only way to stop a miscreant is with countervailing force – your countervailing force.

The supposedly pro-Second Amendment people who called for suspension of open carry near the Republican convention just did not think through the issue. It makes no sense to clear the area of open carriers, because that simply leaves concealed carriers present – lawful or otherwise. The absence of openly carrying civilians means less deterrence, not less guns. It’s another freakin’ make-believe gun-free zone! Endorsed by our side! Sheesh.

The sickening notion that so-called pro-Constitution gun owners would advocate dropping the rule of law and suspend the sacred right to arms just because a bunch of politicians are in town, facing some low-life shallow demonstrators with no philosophical legitimacy, is an atrocious condemnation of our own current state of mental affairs.

The right to keep and bear arms means the right to openly carry those defense tools, period. With a clear understanding of self-defense principles, and knowledge of the effectiveness of responsible open carry of firearms to deter aggression and keep the peace, pro-Second Amendment people should not bow to anti-self-defense hysteria – especially when it comes from the misinformed, poorly principled, gutless, pseudo-pro-rights side.

The Moyel’s Tips, continued from Page 4

They are literally telling you to bow down and cooperate and take it up the you know where, instead of using your gun for its intended purpose – resistance to confiscation and tyranny!

If some new law is designed to force you to give up your guns, our Founders expected you to use your guns to force the authorities to give up their guns, or lives: “This new law, if enacted, will force us into armed resistance, since the law

is a tyrannical infringement and intolerable. If enacted, it would put our right to these arms in jeopardy, and instead of giving up these arms, we will be forced to defend them, with armed might.”

That’s what our guns and the Second Amendment are for, explicitly. “You authorities must be made keenly aware of this. Don’t act foolishly. Behave yourselves.” None of this “A new law will require you to give up your guns,” nonsense.

The Confiscation Clock



For the first time in nearly a decade, overt federal government threats to our right to arms seem to be on hold, with the election of Donald Trump. This leaves the hands of The Clock unchanged, for now. They had been poised to move forward, with the other candidate promising (in violation of the presidential oath of office), to literally ban and confiscate mainstream arms in the public's hands. Her defeat was good news for RKBA.

Caution is advised however – Mr. Trump's understanding of the Second

Amendment lacks depth, as seen in the debates, but this is tempered with his boldly announced gun-rights support, short-list choices for the U.S. Supreme Court and advisors he is likely to select. We are also watching activity at the state level, which in places like California is abysmal. For now, we dodged a bullet, and might even look to activity that could *roll the hands backwards*. What laws would you repeal, or new ones would you introduce, if you held the reins of power?

From The "Wayback Machine"

JPFO Founder Aaron Zelman had written to NRA board member Ted Nugent:

"Ted, I'll join the NRA... When the NRA aggressively presses to abolish all concealed-carry permit laws. How has an unalienable right to self defense been demoted to a revocable government-granted privilege?"

"Unregistered concealed carry has been no big issue in both Alaska and Vermont for decades. Arizona just passed unregistered concealed legislation.* It's time for the NRA to start swimming strongly with this tide. Yes, it's a victory for gun owners when a state like Minnesota finally allows registered carry, but we must see it for what it still is – an unconstitutional in-

fringement on our G-d given right to self defense, (see: *The Ten Commandments of Self Defense* by Rabbi Bendory <http://tinyurl.com/95xjps3>). It is also an obvious way to add more gun-owner names to a list and database." (Note: Out of respect, observant Jews do not write out the name of the Almighty in secular texts.)

*Arizona enacted Constitutional Carry, the right to carry firearms openly or discreetly without government involvement, interference or papers, in 2010, shortly before Mr. Zelman passed away. That enactment came after 16 years of struggle following the CCW per-

mit law in 1994, so CCW laws have served a purpose in paving the way toward more freedom.

The term Constitutional Carry originated in Arizona. As of this issue of *The Sentinel*, 11 states have these rights, with 22 more working on it.

<http://tinyurl.com/bh3vy2c>.

Despite cries of coming disaster (*The BITS Myth – Blood In The Streets!*) from the usual anti-rights bigots, everything is fine.



Do You Have Your JPFO Swag Yet?

We gots da good stuff.

Get a bissel mazel.

Wear your proud on your sleeve.

For a good time go to JPFO.ORG. Like, now. Wow.

T-Shirts • Books • Posters • Pins • Mugs • Jugs • Stickers

Support for the most aggressive civil-rights group in America.



SHOP—DONATE—JOIN—JPFO.ORG—800-869-1884